




*Internet Numbers
Community Proposal*

RIR Communities



+11300 members



+8700 members



+1100 members



+5000 members



+4300 members



Proposal Development Process

Regional community-based process

Discussions held
in existing RIR
community forums



Global process


- Consolidate regional inputs into a single global proposal
- The CRISP Team was set up for this purpose

CRISP Team

Global discussion platform:

ianaxfer@nro.net

CRISP Team Proposal



Components of the Proposal

- *IANA function stability and reliability:* ICANN to continue as the IANA Numbering Services Operator, orderly transition to another operator should such need arise
- *Replace the role of the NTIA with the RIRs (as representatives of RIR communities):* RIRs to establish a service level agreement with the IANA Numbering Services Operator
- *Establishment of a Review Committee:* To review the performance of IANA Numbering Services and advise the RIRs
- *Clarify IPR-related issues:* Intellectual property rights (IPR) related to the provision of the IANA services stay with the community

IANA SLA Principles *

1. Separation of policy development and operational roles
2. Description of services provided to RIRs
3. Obligation to issue reports on transparency and accountability
4. Security, performance and audit requirements
5. Review of the IANA operation
6. Failure to perform
7. Term and termination
8. Continuity of operations
9. Intellectual property rights and rights over data
10. Dispute resolution
11. Cost-based Fee

* Section III.A.3. of the proposal

Review Committee

- *Advise RIRs on review of the service level described in SLA*
 - Provide feedback from the community's perspective
- *Community representatives from each RIR service region*
 - Equal representation from each RIR service region
- *The process of selecting representatives will be driven by the RIRs based on open and bottom-up principles*

*See Section III.A.4 of the proposal

Consultation with Other Operational Communities

- *Intellectual property rights on IANA trademark and IANA.org:*
Clarity needed on these issues in case of a change of IANA operator
- *Section III.A.3 last paragraph:*
“The transfer of the IANA trademark and IANA.ORG domain to the IETF Trust will require additional coordination with the other affected communities of the IANA Services, namely, protocol parameters and names. It is the preference of the Internet Numbers Community that all relevant parties agree to these expectations as part of the transition.”

Community Engagement by the CRISP Team

- *Each version of the proposal was shared on:*
 - The global <ianaxfer@nro.net> mailing list (open to anyone)
 - NRO CRISP web page (<https://www.nro.net/crisp-team>)
 - The CRISP Team members forwarded each version to each RIR community's mailing lists
- *Feedback from the community was confirmed and discussed at every CRISP Team teleconference*
 - The global <ianaxfer@nro.net> mailing list
 - Feedback per RIR region (conveyed by the CRISP Team members)
- *CRISP Team consideration for feedback shared on the <ianaxfer@nro.net> mailing list/ spreadsheet of issues list so that:*
 - Directions were clear to the community
 - Further comments/clarification questions could be made if needed

Feedback Received

- *Some data/facts – Before proposal submission:*
 - 377 posts
 - 53 unique posters
 - Public archives of <ianaxfer@nro.net> mailing list available
- *Support expressed for the proposal*
 - One poster requested adding more details on some of the proposal components, but the suggestion failed to receive support from other posters
 - Two comments to global icg-forum expressing concerns
- *No objections for the proposal components*

Other Feedback

- During the ICANN 52 Public Forum, ICANN Chair Steve Crocker said that, in regards to the ICG proposals from the numbers and protocol parameters communities, the ICANN Board felt there was “nothing fundamental in them that we have a problem with, full stop.”

<http://blog.apnic.net/2015/02/20/event-wrap-icann-52/>

Input that reached consensus

- Clarify about IPR
- High-level principles of the IANA Service Level Agreement
- Clarify that RIRs will consult their respective communities during drafting of the SLA
- High-level principles in review committee selection process
- Editorial suggestions/clarifications

Input not incorporated

- Specify a particular jurisdiction/dispute resolution mechanism
- Specify a particular selection process for the Review Committee
- Incorporate SLA text as a part of the proposal

More Information

- Crisp Team Url: <https://www.nro.net/crisp-team>
- Proposal Url: <http://www.nro.net/crisp-final-proposal>
- FAQ Url: <http://www.nro.net/crisp-proposal-faq>



THANK YOU