

25<sup>th</sup> CRISP Team meeting, held on Thursday, August 20<sup>th</sup> 2015 (13:00 UTC)

**CRISP Team members present:**

**AFRINIC**

Mwendwa Kivuva, MK  
Janvier Ngnoulayem, JN

**APNIC**

Izumi Okutani, IO

**ARIN**

Michael Abejuela, MA  
John Sweeting, JS

**LACNIC**

**RIPE NCC**

Nurani Nimpuno

Laureana Pavón, LP - Scribe

Germán Valdez, GV - NRO Executive Secretariat

**Observers:**

Chris Buckridge

**Draft agenda:**

1. Agenda Review
2. Actions Review
  - a. Meeting Notes
  - b. CRISP observation on IPR (for CWG)
3. CRISP Comment to the ICG
4. Coordination with Other communities: PR
5. SLA ver.2
6. AOB

The meeting began at 13:00 UTC

**1. Agenda Review**

-

**2. Actions Review**

## **a. Meeting Notes**

### **b. CRISP observation on IPR (for CWG)**

Actions were not reviewed.

## **3. CRISP Comment to the ICG**

IO provided the following update:

MA has compiled responses to the twelve questions; NN and IO have observed that, in general, the questions focus on the numbers proposal; it's still worth making a brief comment about the other two functions as well, just to be supportive of the overall proposal in general.

IO then went over the additions she'd made and asked for comments (see email sent by IO to the mailing list on 26 August, subject: Response to the ICG Re: Actions).

NN suggested taking some of the text and "modularizing" it so that it's easy for people to copy, rewrite, or add broader principles. She volunteered to take a stab at that later in the day. NN noted that numbers community was happy, but that it was important to get people to support the proposal, adding that if they write the text so that people can cut and copy snippets they support, that would be great.

NN also suggested that when they send out their response, they should explicitly ask for support, making it clear that it's not necessary to answer all the questions, and that a simple statement of support would be great at this point.

NN added that, personally, she'd rather members submit the same text multiple times than send one text with multiple signatures, i.e., having one text that everyone can modify or send as they see fit.

Message CRISP wants to share to the community:

- Simply showing support if helpful to demonstrate community support to the US government.
- They don't have to try to answer all the questions.
- A response that could be used as a reference but could be modified as needed.

Action: Finalize CRISP team response to the ICG. NN will brush up the responses (focusing on Q12) which could then be used as a reference by others in the numbers community. In parallel to the CRISP team's response, prepare messaging to be sent with the community sample response (to be sent on Monday).

## **4. Coordination with Other communities: IPR**

IO provided the current status of discussions in the CWG:

- CWG are still discussing to finalize this issue, planning a call to discuss this later today.
- At the last CRISP call we made observations about Sidley's analysis, which have been sent to the CWG.
- ICANN board has issued a very encouraging statement regarding IPR (willing to transfer).
- Seeing support among the ICG in a sense that would be consistent with the number proposal and wouldn't create any issues (agreement on the general principle and idea, not on the IETF Trust, would remain consistent with the CRISP proposal).

IO asked whether they should make a statement to the CWG as the CRISP Team confirming that this would be consistent with the numbers proposal.

NN replied that it would make sense for CRISP to make a statement on a principle level, as it might clarify what they're talking about and be a signal to the numbers and other communities.

Next steps: Prepare a comment to the CWG regarding IPR. IO and NN will make a general principle level observation (CRISP is sticking to the principles, no details about any entity or implementation details, simply a comment on consistency). They will circulate a draft and ask for feedback.

Action: After the call, IO will draft a text and all for comments within an hour so it can be submitted to the CWG before their upcoming call.

#### **5. SLA ver.2**

IO noted there had been no updates since the last CRISP call and encouraged the CRISP team to make their observations before the next scheduled call (26<sup>th</sup> August).

In addition to comments about the content itself, IO suggested it might be worth asking the RIRs what would be the next step after this community consultation process, as clarity in this is important for transparency and making sure the RIRs are being accountable to the community about this process.

#### **6. AOB**

It was established that the meeting was scheduled for August 26<sup>th</sup>, 13:00 UTC

The call ended at 13: 40.