CRISP Team teleconference held on Thursday July 9th 2015 (13:00 UTC)

**CRISP members present:**

**AFRINIC**

Mwendwa Kivuva, MK

Janvier Ngnoulayem, JN

**ARIN**

Michael Abejuala, MA

**LACNIC**

Andres Piazza, AP

**RIPE NCC**

Andrei Robachevsky, AR

Paul Rendek, PR

Nurani Nimpuno, NN

**NRO Secretariat**

Germán Valdez, GV - NRO Executive Secretary

Laureana Pavón, LP - Scribe

**Observers:**

Alan Barret

Ernest Byaruhanga

**Apologies:**

Izumi Okutani, IO

Bill Woodcock, BW

**Draft agenda:**

1. Agenda review.

2. Action Items

3. General status update

a. Follow up from ICANN53

b. Timelines submitted to the ICG

c. CRISP comment on Review Committee

d. SLA text status

e. Any other discussions in global/regional community

4. Coordination with other communities: IPR

5. Communications/Messaging (RIRs, ICG)

6. Follow up on the CRISP Charter

7. AOB

**0. Welcome**

The meeting began at 13.03 UTC.

As IO had apologized for not being able to be present during the call, the meeting was chaired by NN.

**1. Agenda review**

No items were added to the agenda.

**2. Action Items**

Meeting notes were mentioned. This action is ongoing.

**3. General status update**

**a. Follow up from ICANN53**

NN presented a brief update on what happened at the ICANN53 meeting.

- Very productive meeting

- Main focus: CWG and their proposal

- Item most discussed: IPR issue (text in the CWG proposal that was inserted last minute but had not

reached consensus, i.e., that the PTI should be the holder of the trademark)

NN shared the link to the CWG-Stewardship response to ICG: http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/cwg-stewardship/2015-July/003941.html

She also shared a link to ICANN Board's comment to ICG: http://mm.ianacg.org/pipermail/internal-cg\_ianacg.org/2015-July/000814.html

**b. Timelines submitted to the ICG**

NN mentioned other discussions: timeline, communications and messaging. She noted the NTIA had been very clear that they needed to know about implementation of the proposal and how long it would take.

NN discussed the updated timeline produce by the ICG to this effect:

<https://www.dropbox.com/sh/7x5poce3wb8ffsv/AADx50BsRyOkPKyFC1QMcDZma/Timeline/TimelineGraphic-v11.xlsx?dl=0>

**c. CRISP comment on Review Committee**

NN mentioned IO had submitted CRISP comments on the Review Committee on the IANA XFER list. There haven’t appeared to be any issues, as everyone appeared to be in general agreement.

AR noted CRISP response had been very clear. He agreed that the charter as it is doesn’t represent any conflict.

**d. SLA text status**

MA provided a quick update:

- There were quite a few comments on the first draft of the SLA text

- The legal team is working on an updated draft of the SLA, trying to take into account community input and that of the EC

- Will release to the EC and then to the community in the not so distant future

AR noted that in this renegotiation phase they were trying to incorporate community feedback, and asked what happens later, i.e. what input would the community have once the SLA is negotiated.

MA replied that it may be difficult to have real-time updates, but that they want to make sure that the community is aware of the negotiations that are going on.

NN suggested since CRISP invited the ICANN board to provide their comments in public, and since they haven’t flagged anything major, CRISP can probably expect they won’t flagging anything contrary to the principles at this point.

PR noted that nobody could really believe the community will be involved in every single step of the process and that there is a question of trust involved.

**e. Any other discussions in global/regional community**

MK presented the following update:

We had the Africa DNS forum in Nairobi from 6th to 8th July. We had a session where we presented the Numbers perspective. It was mentioned the importance of having infographics like those used by ICANN.

**4. Coordination with other communities: IPR**

NN presented the following update:

As part of the response to the ICG, the chairs of the CWG indicated they wanted to have coordination call with the leaders of the other two operational communities. This meeting was held on Tuesday 7th July, it was an Informal call. Notes are being put together and NN will circulate the final notes on the CRISP and IANA XFER mailing lists once they are ready.

During the meeting it was suggested having regular calls (informal talks) with the chairs of the other two operational communities. NN said she and IO had explained that now that there were three proposals on the table, they didn’t know how helpful these informal talks would be and whether they would raise transparency concerns.

NN wasn’t sure what the motivation of these talks would be. She proposed not moving forward with these talks (reasons: not duplicating efforts, not engaging in anything that might be interpreted as more than an informal information exchange).

AR suggested these meetings could be held only if there is a very specific question to discuss.

After further discussion, the following position was agreed: Building trust is important, but that CRISP cannot negotiate or enter into any discussions on behalf of our community without consultation.

**5. Communications/Messaging (RIRs, ICG)**

NN noted that a few things are happening in terms of communications:

- She noted that one very important point identified in BsAs was the communications effort; that it was of essence for the ICG to put together a formal communications plan and to start communicating the proposal

- The ICG is starting to put together a formal communications plan and they’ve also put together a communications team with representatives from the ICG and asked IO and NN to be part of that communications team. NN suggested having one member of each RIR staff on the team.

- NN said that, in parallel, she and IO had spoken with the RIR communications people about their external communication efforts, and that they had started putting together a plan for the numbers community, which included updating the NRO website and making it easier to navigate.

**6. Follow up on the CRISP Charter**

NN said the NRO EC had suggested an updated CRISP charter (which was sent to the mailing list), that there had been a few comments, and that she had suggested a slight amendment. She said they should now make sure it’s published and possibly invite the community to comment.

She said the work of the CRISP team was done once the proposal was no longer the numbers’ proposal but an ICG proposal. Larry Strickling indicated that, before submitting the proposal to the US Congress, the NTIA would get back to the community if they have any questions about the numbers’ part of the proposal. NN said she wanted to make it clear that they will make themselves available to respond to any questions the NTIA may have.

AR, PR and ML agreed.

Action: NN will send new CRISP Charter text to the mailing list for comments before sending it to the NRO EC.

**7. AOB**

PR noted that the work of IO and NN in Buenos Aires in leading and representing the CRISP team had been great and thanked them for their effort.

MA agreed and added that they’d had a very productive and good meeting in Buenos Aires.