

2020-Jan-14: Minutes NRO EC Teleconference

Final

Date: Tuesday, 14 January, 2020, 11am UTC.

Attendees

Executive Council:

Oscar Robles (OR)	LACNIC	Chair
John Curran (JC)	ARIN	Vice Chair/Secretary
Paul Wilson (PW)	APNIC	Treasurer
Eddy Kayihura	AFRINIC	
Kaveh Ranjbar	RIPE NCC	

Observers:

Pablo Hinojosa (PH)	APNIC
Sanjaya Sanjaya (SS)	APNIC
Richard Jimmerson (RJ)	ARIN
Paul Andersen	ARIN Board
Guillermo Cicileo (GC)	LACNIC

Ernesto Majó (EM)	LACNIC
Chris Buckridge (BC)	RIPE NCC

Secretariat:

German Valdez (GV)	NRO Secretariat
Susannah Gray (SG)	NRO Secretariat (Minutes)

Agenda

0. Welcome
1. Agenda Review
2. Public Comment on New gTLD Auction Proceeds Final Report
3. Follow up on Steps to Complete Work on Recommendation #6
4. Cooperation on the Internet Number Registry System (NRO-IRNS-JPAA)
5. SLA Changes for the IANA Numbering Services Regarding RDNS
6. Update ASO Operating Procedures
7. Review of ASO/NRO in ICANN's Bylaws
8. NRO as an ISOC Organizational Partner
9. Legal Team Meeting During ICANN 67
10. CG and Secretariat Reports/Consultations
11. Open Actions Review
12. Minutes Review
13. Next Meetings
14. ICANN and the .org Registry Agreement
15. AOB
16. Adjourn

Resolutions

No resolutions were passed during this meeting.

New Action Items

The following action Items were assigned during this meeting:

New Action 20200114-1: OR to create a list of proposed changes to the ASO – ICANN MoU for eventual circulation to ICANN.
New Action 20200114-2: EK and KR to approve proposed editorial changes to the ASO AC Operating Procedures as soon as possible.
New Action 20200114-3: ALL to review the ASO-NRO in ICANN Bylaws Document for discussion during the F2F meeting in Cancun.
New Action 20200114-4: OR to inform the legal team that the F2F meeting in Cancún had been approved and ask the team to provide a list of items that would be under discussion.
New Action 20200114-5: Secretariat to work with the legal team to compile a list of outstanding action items assigned to it in preparation for the F2F meeting in Cancún.
New Action 20200114-6: Secretariat to work with the CCG to finalize the 2020 work plan (including F2F meeting work items) and decide on an alternative location for the 2020 F2F meeting if the NRO EC does not approve the requested F2F meeting in Cancun within the coming days.
New Action 20200114-7: OR to send a response to Jonathan Zuck to clarify that there was no outside involvement in the ASO’s inspection request and ask that he share the response on the policy mailing list.

0. Welcome

OR welcomed the attendees to the call. The proceedings commenced at 11:02 UTC.

1. Agenda Review

KR noted that, as an ICANN Board member, he would leave the call during any discussions regarding .org. Accordingly, agenda item 2. *ICANN and the .org Registry Agreement*, was moved to the end of the agenda (new agenda item 14).

OR noted that GC was participating on behalf of the ECG.

2. Public Comment on New gTLD Auction Proceeds Final Report

OR noted that the NRO had been part of the cross-community working group on new gTLD auction proceeds and asked if there was a need to provide feedback on the Final Report.

The NRO EC decided that no feedback was necessary.

3. Follow up on Steps to Complete Work on Recommendation #6

GV explained that there were actions still pending for Recommendation #6. Actions on this had been assigned to AB, who had sent a note to ICANN, but no response had been recorded. He asked whether the NRO EC wanted to pursue a response or leave this for the next ASO Review cycle.

OR noted that the NRO EC should follow up with ICANN: a response may have been received by AB and not forwarded to the mailing list.

JC noted that ICANN had been asked if the requested changes would be acceptable and no objection had been raised. This should not hold up the closure of the ASO Review cycle. He suggested that the next step could be to document the requested changes and send to ICANN and, if at that point there were objections, the NRO could note that it had previously notified ICANN of its intentions.

After discussion the NRO EC agreed to prepare a list of proposed changes to the ASO ICANN MoU and send to ICANN and see what it was willing to accept.

New Action 20200114-1: OR to create a list of proposed changes to the ASO – ICANN MoU for eventual circulation to ICANN.

4. Cooperation on the Internet Number Registry System (NRO-IRNS-JPAA)

OR explained that, after discussion with the LACNIC Board, he had proposed a minor edit to the Cooperation on the Internet Number Registry System (NRO-IRNS-JPAA) document. He asked the NRO EC to confirm that the edit was acceptable. If not, he would have to take the document back to the LACNIC Board for further discussion.

JC noted that ARIN was in agreement with the proposed change.

PW noted that the document would be discussed during the upcoming APNIC EC meeting during February, time permitting.

EK noted that the document would be discussed during the upcoming AFRINIC Board meeting early February.

KR noted that the RIPE NCC Board had approved the document. However, as this had not yet been formally documented, he would obtain formal approval and send to the NRO EC mailing list.

The following action item from November therefore remains open:

Action Item 20191108-5: All to send the NRO-IRNS-JPAA document to their respective Boards and report back on progress.

5. SLA Changes for the IANA Numbering Services Regarding RDNS

OR noted the following actions from October were assigned to him regarding this agenda item:

- *Action Item 20191012-3: OR to update the SLA Changes for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS and send to the Legal Team for review.*
- *Action Item 20191012-4: OR to contact IANA regarding the proposed changes to the SLA for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS.*

He added that he would be attending the ICANN SO/AC Chairs/Vice Chairs workshop in LA at the end of January and would talk with Kim Davis (IANA) about the NRO's proposed changes.

6. Update ASO Operating Procedures

GV explained that Aftab Siddiqui, ASO AC Chair, had sent a request on 10 December asking the NRO EC to approve the proposed editorial changes to the ASO AC MoU. The ASO AC would like to change four references to the now defunct ac-coord list to reflect the new mailing list name. He noted that ARIN, APNIC and LACNIC had approved these changes and asked AFRINIC and RIPE NCC to add their approval as soon as possible.

New Action 20200114-2: EK and KR to approve proposed editorial changes to the ASO AC Operating Procedures as soon as possible.

7. Review of ASO/NRO in ICANN's Bylaws

The NRO EC discussed the Review of ASO/NRO in ICANN's Bylaws.

New Action 20200114-3: ALL to review the ASO-NRO in ICANN Bylaws Document for discussion during the F2F meeting in Cancún.

8. NRO as an ISOC Organizational Partner

The NRO EC discussed ISOC Organizational Membership.

9. Legal Team Meeting During ICANN 67.

OR noted that the legal team had requested to hold a F2F meeting at ICANN 67 in Cancún.

JC requested that the legal team provided a list of items it would be working on so he could assess the workload and staffing needs.

After discussion, it was noted that these items, among others, should be discussed:

- Recommendation #6 (mediation/arbitration - see agenda item 3 above)
- Proposed changes to the IANA Numbering Services SLA
- Legal status of IP addresses
- A Framework for Personal Data in the Internet Numbers Registry System
- Fraud Handling Communication

PW noted that CN, APNIC's legal counsel, had informed him that AB had been gathering a list of items for the legal team to work on. He would forward this as soon as he could locate it.

JC commented that as the number of transfers between regions increases, there were some gaps in the paperwork and it would be helpful if there were a consistent glossary and set of terms. In some cases, it was not clear that the RIRs were referencing the same things.

There were no objections to the legal team holding a F2F meeting in Cancún and the meeting was subsequently approved. The legal team's meeting should, however, not overlap the NRO EC's F2F meeting.

New Action 20200114-4: OR to inform the legal team that the F2F meeting in Cancún had been approved and ask the team to provide a list of items that would be under discussion.

New Action 20200114-5: Secretariat to work with the legal team to compile a list of outstanding action items assigned to it in preparation for the F2F meeting in Cancún.

10. CG and Secretariat Reports/Consultations

a) PSCG

OR explained that the document had been approved during the December teleconference. LN had been asked to make a minor editorial change and had subsequently re-circulated the final document.

b) CCG

GV explained that the CCG was still finalizing its 2020 work plan but had requested approval to hold a F2F meeting at ICANN 67 in Cancún so that the logistical arrangements could get underway as the meeting is only a few weeks away.

PW noted that it might be unrealistic for the APNIC Comms team; it would be a very busy period over the next few months and there might not be time for adequate preparation. Further, he had not been informed of the proposal to hold an F2F meeting.

JC noted that the NRO EC budgeted for and approved a certain number of F2F meetings each year for each of the CGs. Sometimes the meeting dates were specified and sometimes they were not. He asked if the CCG's request was for an already approved F2F meeting or an additional one.

GV noted that, technically, the NRO EC had not yet approved the CCG's 2020 F2F meeting because the CCG had not yet presented its 2020 work plan.

JC noted that to approve this meeting, the NRO EC would need some documentation that could justify holding the meeting.

OR agreed. He noted that there had been some issues with the change in CG leadership but that the NRO EC needed to see the work plan to ensure that the proposed meeting would be productive and that there would be quorum. If the agenda/work plan were developed at short notice, it might be unlikely that the meeting would be successful.

New Action 20200114-6: Secretariat to work with the CCG to finalize the 2020 work plan (including F2F meeting work items) and decide on an alternative location for the 2020 F2F meeting if the NRO EC does not approve the requested F2F meeting in Cancun within the coming days.

c) ECG

The NRO EC approved the ECG 2020 work plan.

11. Open Actions Review

Action Item 20191012-3: OR to update the SLA Changes for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS and send to the Legal Team for review.	IN PROGRESS
Action Item 20191012-4: OR to contact IANA regarding the proposed changes to the SLA for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS.	OPEN
Action Item 20191012-6: All to review the ECG's 2020 Workplan and be ready to approve during the January 2020 teleconference.	CLOSED

<p>Action Item 20191108-5: All to send the NRO-IRNS-JPAA document to their respective Boards and report back on progress.</p> <p>Discussion: <i>See Agenda item 4.</i></p>	IN PROGRESS
<p>New Action Item 20191108-9: GV to ask ICANN whether it could assist with translations of the revised ASO MoU.</p>	OPEN
<p>Action Item 20191015-7: Secretariat to coordinate with the ECG on what information on TALs could be added to the RPKI page on the NRO website.</p> <p>Discussion: <i>GV noted that this item had been raised on the ECG mailing list. The ECG agreed that information on TALs should be added to the NRO website but there had been no conclusion as of yet. He noted that he would finalize this before the February NRO EC meeting.</i></p>	IN PROGRESS
<p>Action Item 20190820-4: AP to follow up on action item 20190618-1 and ask the ECG and legal team to provide a report on the proposed changes to the SLA for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS before the September NRO EC Teleconference.</p> <p>Discussion: <i>OR noted that this action item and Action Item 20190820-7 had been assigned to him. He added that the Secretariat had sent a list of all pending actions assigned to AP to him as requested but he had not yet had chance to go through it.</i></p>	IN PROGRESS
<p>Action Item 20190820-7: AP to follow up on ACTION ITEM 20190618-5 and ask the Legal Team to provide its review of the proposed Fraud Handling Framework before the September NRO EC teleconference.</p>	OPEN

12. Minutes Review

a) 2019-Dec-10: Minutes NRO EC Teleconference - DRAFT
(Pending: AFRINIC, ARIN, APNIC, LACNIC, RIPE NCC)

b) 2019-Nov-26: NRO EC Extraordinary Meeting on Empowered Community Approval Action

The NRO EC Extraordinary Meeting on Empowered Community Approval Action minutes were approved. KR noted that the RIPE NCC would abstain from approving these minutes.

c) 2019-Nov-8: Minutes NRO EC F2F Meeting Montreal - DRAFT
(Pending: AFRINIC, LACNIC)

KR noted that the RIPE NCC would abstain from approving the F2F Meeting in Montreal 2019 minutes.

d) 2019-October 20: Minutes NRO EC Teleconference - DRAFT
(Pending: AFRINIC)

KR noted that the RIPE NCC would abstain from approving the October 2019 minutes.

13. Next Meetings

a) Tuesday 18 February Teleconference

b) NRO EC f2f Meeting after ICANN 67 in Cancún Friday 13 and Saturday 14 March 2019.

The NRO EC agreed that the F2F meeting at ICANN 67 in Cancún would be scheduled during the afternoon of Thursday 12 March and for the full day Friday 13 March.

KR noted that his travel had already been confirmed and he would only be available until midday on Friday.

c) Tuesday 21 April Teleconference

d) Tuesday 19 May Teleconference

14. ICANN and the .org Registry Agreement

KR left the call at 12:21 UTC.

The NRO EC discussed ICANN and the .org Registry Agreement.

OR noted that the [Request for Inspection](#) had been submitted. There had been no other formal communication except the comments received from Göran Marby. He added that there had been some comments regarding the request on other mailing lists, websites and Facebook groups.

PW noted that he was only aware of the [comment](#) sent to the ICANN Policy mailing list, which had suggested that the EFF was behind the ASO's request. He added that a response to this clarifying that no third party was involved should be sent.

The NRO EC discussed this agenda item further, concluding that the ASO had made its statement and would now need to see how the process evolved.

New Action 20200114-7: OR to send a response to Jonathan Zuck to clarify that there was no outside involvement in the ASO's inspection request and ask that he share the response on the policy mailing list.

15. AOB

There was no AOB.

16. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 4:39.