

2019-Mar-19: Minutes NRO EC Teleconference

Date: Tuesday, 19 March 2019, 11am UTC.

Attendees

Executive Council:

Alan Barrett (AB)	AFRINIC	Chair
Axel Pawlik (AP)	RIPE NCC	Secretary
John Curran (JC)	ARIN	
Paul Wilson (PW)	APNIC	

Apologies:

Oscar Robles	LACNIC	Treasurer
--------------	--------	-----------

Observers:

Madhvi Gokool (MV)	AFRINIC
Paul Andersen	ARIN Board
Pablo Hinojosa (PH)	APNIC
Gaurab Raj Upadhaya (GU),	APNIC EC
Richard Jimmerson (RJ)	ARIN
Ernesto Majó (EM)* <i>*Acts on behalf of LACNIC</i>	LACNIC

Secretariat:

German Valdez (GV)	NRO
Susannah Gray (SG)	NRO (Scribe)

Agenda

1. Welcome
2. Agenda Review
3. ASO Review
4. IANA Audit Report
5. IANA ASN Registry Detail
6. NRO Contribution to FY19 ICANN
7. NRO Contribution to IGFSa
8. RDAP
9. CG Reports
 - a) RSCG
 - i) Request for Fraud Handling Communication Framework
 - b) Secretariat
 - i) ICANN Board Seat 10 Report
10. Next Meetings
11. Minutes Review
12. Open Actions Review
13. AOB
14. Adjourn

Resolutions

The following Resolutions were passed during this meeting:

<p>RESOLUTION R-20190319-1: The NRO EC resolves to contribute US\$ 823,000 to ICANN in Q2 2019 (USD 650,000 as a fee for the IANA Numbering Services and USD 173,000 as a voluntary contribution).</p>

<p>RESOLUTION R-20190319-2: The NRO EC resolves to contribute US\$ 50,000 to IGF-SA in 2019.</p>

New Action Items

The following action Items were assigned during this meeting:

<p>NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-1: Secretariat to add additional status levels to the ASO Review Implementation Status Table to show that the ASO AC's part of the work has been completed.</p>

<p>NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-2: Secretariat to add an announcement to the NRO Website on the SOC 2 Audit Report, noting that no exceptions had been identified (quoting 6.2.3 of the SLA in the announcement).</p>

<p>NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-3: AB to send a note to IANA/PTI noting that the NRO EC agrees with the proposed new levels of registry details and to ask it to ensure that the 2018 delegations were included before publishing.</p>
--

<p>NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-4: OR to respond to ICANN noting that the NRO had no objections to paying the NRO Contribution before the end of ICANN's fiscal year, and to make the payment.</p>
--

NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-5: OR to respond to IGF-SA noting that the NRO would make its contribution as early as possible and to make the payment.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-6: PW to send further information about RDAP and what should be discussed further during the F2F meeting in Reykjavik.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-7: AB to ask the RIR Legal Team to comment on the proposed Fraud Handling Communication Framework and report back to the NRO EC.

1. Welcome

AB welcomed the attendees to the call. He noted that OR had sent his apologies and would not be attending the call: EM acts on behalf of LACNIC.

PW noted that Gaurab Raj Upadhaya (GU), APNIC Executive Council (EC), was attending the call as APNIC's observer.

AB welcomed GU to the call. He added that MG, chair of the RSCG, was also attending the call and would give an update during the CG Reports.

2. Agenda Review

PW asked that discussion on RDAP be added to the agenda. This was added as agenda item 8.

3. ASO Review

AB noted that he had updated the ICANN Board and the CEO on the status of the ASO Review and the ASO Future Structure Consultation during the join session at ICANN 64.

AB added that an informal meeting with the ASO AC had also been held. The ASO AC had asked that an additional status level be added to the Implementation Status table to show that the ASO AC had completed its part of the work even though the Recommendation might still be in progress.

There were no objections.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-1: Secretariat to add additional status levels to the ASO Review Implementation Status Table to show that the ASO AC's part of the work has been completed.

AB also noted the following action:

ACTION ITEM 20190219-01: AB to ask the legal teams to examine the first 17 Recommendations and give an overview of what changes to the MoU, ICANN Bylaws and other related documents are needed for implementation.

He noted that he had asked the legal teams to look into this and that further discussions would be held during the joint NRO EC – Legal Team Meeting at the upcoming F2F Meeting in Reykjavik.

4. IANA Audit Report

The NRO EC discussed the IANA Audit Report.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-2: Secretariat to add an announcement to the NRO Website on the SOC 2 Audit Report, noting that no exceptions had been identified (quoting 6.2.3 of the SLA in the announcement).

5. IANA ASN Registry Detail

Status: Recommendation from the RSCG: "The RSCG discussed the IANA Registry detail proposed by PTI during its face to face meeting 4-5 March 2019. The RSCG agrees with the level of aggregation the new website demo format is showing. The RSCG has also prudently verified that all the blocks delegated to each RIR correspond with the information on the IANA website. Since the redesign format dates before Dec 2018, the ASN blocks LACNIC received in Dec 2018 are not shown. The RSCG expects that PTI shall be doing these updates when they publish the new website"

AB explained that, in 2018, the NRO EC requested that IANA/PTI restore the previous level of granularity in the ASN Registry. In December 2018, IANA/PTI made a proposal of how it might implement this request. The NRO EC asked the RSCG to check the level of detail and to check the accuracy of IANA/PTI's proposal. The RSCG noted that everything was accurate except that blocks allocated in 2018 were not yet shown.

PW and AB thanked MG and the RSCG for their work on this.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-3: AB to send a note to IANA/PTI noting that the NRO EC agrees with the proposed new levels of registry details and to ask it to ensure that the 2018 delegations were included before publishing.

6. NRO Contribution to FY19 ICANN

AB explained that ICANN had asked if it could send an invoice for the annual NRO contribution before the final quarter of its fiscal year (July). In the past, this invoice had been paid in the second or third quarter.

GV noted that the payment dates had been discussed by the NRO EC last year and it had been agreed to adjust the payment dates to align with ICANN's fiscal year (see Resolution R-20180316-3).

PW noted that this was his recollection but that he thought the payment was due to occur slightly later in the year.

AB noted that if the payment were made in the second quarter it would still fall in ICANN's fiscal year.

There were no objections to making the payment for the NRO Contribution in the second quarter of the year.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-4: OR to respond to ICANN noting that the NRO had no objections to paying the NRO Contribution before the end of ICANN's fiscal year, and to make the payment.

RESOLUTION R-20190319-1: The NRO EC resolves to contribute US\$ 823,000 to ICANN in Q2 2019 (USD 650,000 as a fee for the IANA Numbering Services and USD 173,000 as a voluntary contribution).

7. NRO Contribution to IGFSA

The NRO EC discussed the NRO Contribution to the IGFSA.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-5: OR to respond to IGF-SA noting that the NRO would make its contribution as early as possible and to make the payment.

RESOLUTION R-20190319-2: The NRO EC resolves to contribute US\$ 50,000 to IGF-SA in 2019.

8. RDAP

The NRO EC discussed RDAP.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-6: PW to send further information about RDAP and what should be discussed further during the F2F meeting in Reykjavik.

9. CG Reports

- RSCG

i) Request for Fraud Handling Communication Framework

The NRO EC discussed the proposed Fraud Handling Communication Framework.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-7: AB to ask the RIR Legal Team to comment on the proposed Fraud Handling Communication Framework and report back to the NRO EC.

- Secretariat

i) ICANN Board Seat 10 Report

GV gave an overview on the ICANN Board Seat 10 Election process. He noted that the ASO AC was in its voting phase. Voting would close on Friday and the ASO AC should be ready to announce the selected candidate early next week.

10. Next Meetings

a) Teleconference Tuesday 16 April 2019

b) Next f2f Meeting Proposal

Venue: RIPE 78 Reykjavik, Iceland

Date: 24 -25 May 2019

The NRO EC discussed its upcoming F2F meeting.

AB explained that the NRO EC should prepare for a two-day meeting: the joint session with the RIR legal team would take place on the afternoon of the 24th May.

EM noted that, in the previous teleconference, the NRO EC had discussed the subject of strategic planning and whether it needed to be discussed during the upcoming F2F meeting. As it was not on the agenda for this teleconference, it should be on the agenda for the April teleconference, as it needed to be discussed before the F2F in Reykjavik to decide whether or not it was a relevant topic to include in the agenda.

AB agreed, and added that it would be added to the April teleconference agenda.

JC noted that there was an open action item on him draft a proposal for an RIR Cooperation Agreement:

ACTION 20181019-3: JC to circulate an outline for an RIR Cooperation Agreement document by early 2019.

He noted that currently, the RIRs each operate its own portion of the numbers registry but it is one registry that should have shared properties, common formats and be aligned. He continued that such joint agreement and any discussion on the RIRs' relationship for the foreseeable future were strategic issues and should be discussed during the F2F.

JC added that there was also an action on AP to draft a strawman proposal on the Consultation on the Future Structure of the ASO, which could also be considered as a strategic discussion:

ACTION ITEM 20190219-02: AP to draft Strawman proposal on the Future Structure of the ASO and circulate to the list.

AB noted that both items should be on the agenda for the F2F meeting and asked that both drafts be circulated in time.

JC and AP agreed.

PW noted that there was a substantial amount of legal work to complete. The second day of the F2F would likely need to be a full day to ensure that there was enough time for discussion and asked people to schedule accordingly.

AP noted that he had informed the legal team that it would need to be there on 24 March only.

11. Minutes Review

a) 2019 February 19 Minutes - DRAFT

Status: Approved by APNIC and AFRINIC.

AB asked ARIN, LACNIC and the RIPE NCC to approve the minutes from the February teleconference as soon as possible.

12. Open Actions Review

<p>ACTION ITEM 20190219-01: AB to ask the legal teams to examine the first 17 Recommendations and give an overview of what changes to the MoU, ICANN Bylaws and other related documents are needed for implementation.</p>	<p>CLOSED</p>
<p>ACTION ITEM 20190219-02: AP to draft Strawman proposal on the future structure of the ASO and circulate to the list.</p>	<p>IN PROGRESS</p>
<p>ACTION ITEM 20190219-3: AB to inform the ASO AC that the NRO EC has approved the ASO AC's proposed new procedures in response to Recommendation #15 and that the NRO EC also had some suggested text edits that the ASO AC might want to consider.</p> <p>Discussion: AB noted that the ASO AC had discussed this during its F2F Meeting at ICANN 64 and was considering the suggestions made by the NRO EC. He added that the ASO AC had suggested that, in future, it could send final draft procedures to the NRO EC before they were put to vote. Comments from the NRO EC might trigger changes, which would mean that documents would need to be edited, and then another vote held.</p> <p>There were no objections.</p>	<p>CLOSED</p>
<p>ACTION ITEM 20190219-6: All to prepare for their legal representatives to attend a session with the NRO EC during the NRO EC F2F Meeting in Reykjavik in May.</p>	<p>CLOSED</p>
<p>ACTION ITEM 20190219-7: PW to edit the draft Proposal for Inter-RIR Security Cooperation and circulate for discussion prior to the NRO EC F2F Meeting in Reykjavik.</p> <p>Discussion: PW noted that this action item had been changed from PH/SS to him. He added that a new draft would be sent shortly for discussion during the April teleconference.</p>	<p>OPEN</p>
<p>ACTION ITEM 20190122-1: AB to send a message to ICANN and to the ASO AC regarding Steps 12, 15 and 16 (Recommendation #6).</p> <p>Discussion: AB noted that, while the action item is closed, it was important to keep track of approvals from ICANN.</p> <p>PW noted that this would fall under the ongoing work on <i>ACTION ITEM 20190219-01: AB to ask the legal teams to examine the first 17 Recommendations and give an overview of what changes to the MoU, ICANN Bylaws and other related documents are needed for implementation.</i></p>	<p>CLOSED</p>
<p>ACTION ITEM 20190122-9: AB to send a note to the RSCG to ask for feedback on the proposal to restore granularity in the ASN Registry.</p> <p>Replaced by NEW ACTION ITEM 20190319-3: AB to send a note to IANA/PTI noting that the NRO agrees with the proposed new levels of registry details and ask it to ensure that the 2018 delegations are included before publishing.</p>	<p>CLOSED</p>

<p>ACTION 20181019-1: All to review/share with respective Boards the 'Framework for Personal Data in the Internet Numbers Registry System' and report back by end of the 2018.</p> <p><i>Discussion:</i> AB noted that this was on the agenda for the F2F meeting.</p> <p>JC noted that he would circulate an updated draft. The proposed framework on personal data document noted the responsibilities regarding the handling of personal data but that was just one aspect of operating the registry on a shared basis. Based on further discussion, it was likely that the proposed framework on personal data would end up being an appendix of a larger joint registry framework agreement.</p> <p>He suggested that, rather than having separate agreements between the RIRs to handle such things as incident response and reporting, privacy matters and joint statistics, it might make more sense to create an overall framework. Each area could have an addendum that describes the cooperation in that particular area.</p> <p>AB noted that a single framework would make sense. He added that the NRO MoU could be updated in the manner described.</p> <p>JC noted that that was something that should be discussed during the strategy sessions at the F2F Meeting. The NRO MoU is valid but does not have much detail about the commitments the RIRs had to each other. He noted that any future joint registry framework would need to be more detailed than what is contained in the current MoU: "...<i>erving as the coordinating mechanism of the RIRs...</i>"</p>	<p>IN PROGRESS</p>
<p>ACTION 20181019-3: JC to circulate an outline for an RIR Cooperation Agreement document by early 2019.</p>	<p>IN PROGRESS</p>
<p>ACTION ITEM 20181009-5: All to review and subsequently approve the 2017 NRO distribution formula.</p> <p><i>Discussion:</i> GV noted that he would coordinate the distribution of expenses with the CFOs as per the approved distribution formula.</p>	<p>CLOSED</p>

13. AOB

There was no AOB.

14. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 12:06 PM (UTC).