2019-Dec-10: Minutes NRO EC Teleconference

FINAL

Date: Tuesday, 10 December, 2019, 11am UTC.

Attendees

Executive Council:

Oscar Robles (OR)	LACNIC	Chair /Treasurer
John Curran (JC)	ARIN	Vice Chair/Secretary
Paul Wilson (PW)	APNIC	
Eddy Kayihura	AFRINIC	
Kaveh Ranjbar	RIPE NCC	

Observers:

Pablo Hinojosa (PH)	APNIC
Sanjaya Sanjaya (SS)	APNIC
Richard Jimmerson (RJ)	ARIN
Ernesto Majó (EM)	LACNIC

Secretariat:

German Valdez (GV)	NRO Secretariat
Susannah Gray (SG)	NRO Secretariat (Minutes)

Agenda

0. Welcome
1. Agenda Review
2. ASO Review
3. Cooperation on the Internet Number Registry System
4. ITU-T and ITU-D Membership
5. Secure Routing Consortium
6. SO/AC Chairs/Vice Chairs workshop in January 2020
7. SLA Changes for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS
8. ICANN & the .Org Registry Agreement
9. CG and Secretariat Reports/Consultations
10. Open Actions Review
11. Minutes Review
12. Next Meetings
13. AOB
14. Adjourn

Resolutions

> **R-20191210-1: The NRO EC will make use of the ICANN Empowered Community's _Rights of Inspection and Investigation_ Power to ask ICANN for information on the processes regarding the approval of the .org assignment.**

New Action Items

The following action Items were assigned during this meeting:

New Action Item 20191012-1: Secretariat to report back on the status of the outstanding steps necessary to complete Recommendation #6.
New Action 20191012-2: JC to contact BW regarding the Secure Routing Consortium proposal, indicating that the NRO would not take a position on it but that he could contact individual RIRs to discuss further.
New Action Item 20191012-3: OR to update the SLA Changes for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS and send to the Legal Team for review.
New Action Item 20191012-4: OR to contact IANA regarding the proposed changes to the SLA for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS.
New Action Item 20191012-5: PW to prepare a draft document with an explanation of the NRO EC's rationale for submitting the ICANN Empowered Community Power of Inspection.

> **New Action Item 20191012-6: All to review the ECG's 2020 Workplan and be ready to approve during the January 2020 teleconference.**

> **New Action Item 20191012-7: Secretariat to review the status of all pending action items assigned to AP and provide an overview to OR.**

0. Welcome

OR welcomed the attendees to the call. The proceedings commenced at 11:10 UTC.

1. Agenda Review

GV added *Approval for RSCG F2F Meeting* to the agenda.

2. ASO Review

a) Final Steps

OR asked if the NRO EC needed to take action on this or whether a response was still expected from ICANN.

GV noted that there had been an action on AB regarding this and that he would look into it and report back to the NRO EC.

New Action Item 20191012-1: Secretariat to report back on the status of the outstanding steps necessary to complete Recommendation #6.

3. Cooperation on the Internet Number Registry System

The NRO EC discussed the Cooperation on the Internet Number Registry System document.

4. ITU-T and ITU-D Membership

The NRO EC discussed ITU-T and ITU-D Membership.

5. Secure Routing Consortium

JC gave an overview of the document and the previous discussion on the Secure Routing Consortium proposal, noting that Bill Woodcock (BW) was looking for the NRO's support either as a participant or financially. He noted that he had outlined his concerns regarding duplication, as the proposed system was similar to RIPE Atlas. AP had commented that some of the measurements proposed could not be carried out using the RIPE Atlas infrastructure as they required sending invalid traffic, which the RIPE Atlas team had concerns about.

The NRO EC did not have an obligation to act but JC noted that it would be appropriate to respond to BW.

KR noted that he had spoken with the RIPE Atlas team and, while some parts of the proposed system overlapped, there were many parts that didn't. He continued that, if the RIPE NCC decided to support this project, it might do so as a participant but there would be no financial support.

PW noted that he had provided feedback to the mailing list: APNIC was not eager to support this proposal and, as it had not been budgeted for, it could not be financially supported.

OR noted that he thought the proposal was an interesting operational initiative. He concluded that each individual RIR could follow up with BW as appropriate but there would not be an NRO response to this proposal.

JC commented that he would indicate this to BW, noting that the NRO would not be taking a position but that he could follow up with the RIRs individually.

KR agreed with JC. He noted that the RIPE NCC was still discussing the proposal and had not yet decided whether it would support the concept or not.

New Action 20191012-2: JC to contact BW regarding the Secure Routing Consortium proposal, indicating that the NRO would not take a position on it but that he could contact individual RIRs to discuss further.

6. SO/AC Chairs/Vice Chairs workshop in January 2020

OR explained that an invitation from ICANN to attend the SO/AC Workshop in January 2020 had been received. Previously the SO/AC representatives attended a dinner prior to the ICANN Meeting but this had evolved into a workshop.

He proposed that, as the NRO/ASO Chair, he could attend this meeting, as it would be beneficial for the ASO to be present. He added that ICANN covered travel costs.

There were no objections.

7. SLA Changes for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS

The NRO EC discussed the proposed changes to the IANA Numbering Services SLA.

JC commented that ARIN had reviewed the document and agreed with the proposed changes.

OR commented that the IANA had been approached regarding the current level of service and had shared some information.

PW noted that the IANA had provided its current voluntary SLA for RDNS. He recalled that the NRO EC had discussed that it would consider the current level of service, and if it was adequate, would not ask for improvements on it.

OR noted that the current service level was aligned with the NRO's expectations but that different measurements were being asked for in the proposed document. He suggested that he approach Kim Davies (KD) informally to discuss it.

PW asked whether the community's input on the proposed changes should be sought. He added that it was not a task for the RC as it was not in its remit.

JC agreed: the IANA RC was focused on assessing the IANAs performance according to the SLA, looking retrospectively to see if the SLA had been upheld. It would not be the IANA RC's task to set or recommend a performance level. He added that, in the ARIN region, this was an operational item and would fall to the ARIN staff to decide upon. The engineering team had been consulted: the numbers provided by the IANA were reasonable. He noted that he was not against asking the community for input but did not feel it necessary.

OR commented that if the NRO decided to gather community input/review, the NRO should first agree on the proposed changes to the SLA. He noted that he would contact the legal team and ask it to do a soft review of the proposed changes and that he would also contact KD.

JC suggest that OR could mention the proposed changes to the SLA during the upcoming SO/AC workshop.

New Action Item 20191012-3: OR to update the SLA Changes for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS and send to the Legal Team for review.

New Action Item 20191012-4: OR to contact IANA regarding the proposed changes to the SLA for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS.

8. ICANN & the .Org Registry Agreement

KR noted that, as an ICANN Board member, he had access to privileged information on this subject and recused himself from the discussion.

The other NRO EC members present on the call proceeded to discuss the .Org Registry Agreement.

JC noted that the ASO should not get involved with DNS registry business so it would need to be very clear that the ASO was asking only about the process and that it was concerned only about the multistakeholder process. He added that this could be done informally or formally but unless the *Rights of Inspection* was used, ICANN would not be obliged to respond.

OR agreed that the *Rights of Inspection* should be used if all agreed: it was not a names matter but an administrative/due process matter.

JC noted that the lack of transparency was not necessarily by ICANN's choice. As .org had been transferred to a standard registry agreement, ICANN did not have the ability to provide increased transparency and it was not clear whether it had any choice but to treat it like a normal commercial contractual assignment.

PW commented that the submission should be fully transparent and uploaded to the NRO website as a formal correspondence with ICANN.

JC agreed. He added that any Empowered Community related action would be published by ICANN too.

JC noted that all five RIRs would need to consent to use an Empowered Community Power. He proposed the following resolution:

R-20191210-1: The NRO EC will make use of the ICANN Empowered Community's *Rights of Inspection and Investigation* Power to ask ICANN for information on the processes regarding the approval of the .org assignment.

AFRINIC, ARIN, APNIC and LACNIC agreed to use the *Rights of Inspection* power.

KR abstained. He noted that he would facilitate an authorized representative from the RIPE NCC to convey the RIPE NCC's position.

The NRO EC agreed that the current document was ready for submission. OR asked the NRO to inform their Boards and/or get formal approval as necessary.

PW noted that there should be some explanatory notes explaining the rationale and agreed to draft some text.

New Action Item 20191012-5: PW to prepare a draft document with an explanation of the NRO EC's rationale for submitting the ICANN Empowered Community Power of Inspection.

9. CG and Secretariat Reports/Consultations

a) Secretariat Update

b) PSCG

GV explained that LN had sent an updated version of the document reflecting the changes requested during the F2F meeting in Montreal. He noted that it was pre-approved in Montreal pending those changes.

PW noted that he had asked for the removal of a footnote regarding APNIC staff participation as it was out of scope.

OR asked GV to ask LN to remove this footnote. The document could then be considered approved by the NRO EC.

There were no objections.

c) CCG

GV noted that the CCG would hold its teleconference on 19 December to finalize the 2020 work plan.

d) ECG

GV noted that the ECG had requested to hold a two-day retreat early in the year (Jan/Feb) in Amsterdam, hosted by the RIPE NCC. This would be in addition to the F2F meetings held during the IETF meetings. The second option would be to hold the retreat after the IETF in Vancouver in March.

OR explained that the ECG saw value in holding this extra meeting: the meetings during the IETF were useful for coordination but a retreat would help the ECG with planning and analysis. He asked that the NRO EC approve the retreat so that planning could begin.

The NRO EC approved the ECG's 2020 retreat.

New Action Item 20191012-6: All to review the ECG's 2020 Workplan and be ready to approve during the January 2020 teleconference.

e) RSCG

GV noted that the RSCG's 2020 workplan had been on the mailing list for a month (open action Action Item 20191015-6). The RSCG was seeking approval to hold its F2F meeting during the APNIC 49 meeting in Melbourne in February.

The NRO EC approved the RSCG's F2F Meeting request.

The NRO EC approved the RSCG's 2020 Workplan.

10. Open Action Items

Action Item 20191108-2: JC to contact ARIN's law firm to produce a summary of the references to the ASO and SOs in the ICANN Bylaws. *Discussion*: JC noted that he had contacted the law firm that had previously worked on the accountability reviews that the RIRs had participated in. Work on this task was underway and should be delivered in early January. OR commented that the NRO EC should do the analysis. JC noted that the law firm was not doing any analysis or giving recommendations: it was providing an objective summary of the rights and responsibilities in the ICANN Bylaws.	CLOSED
Action Item 20191108-6: All to review the SRC document, pass onto respective Boards and report back during the December teleconference. See discussion under agenda item 5.	CLOSED
Action Item 20191108-9: GV to ask ICANN whether it could assist with translations of the revised ASO MoU.	OPEN
Action Item 20191015-6: All to review the RSCG 2020 Work Plan.	CLOSED
Action Item 20191015-7: Secretariat to coordinate with the ECG on what information on TALs could be added to the RPKI page on the NRO website. *Discussion*: GV noted that he had sent an email to ECG on this and that there had been discussion on this on the ECG mailing list. He would confirm whether the information could now be published.	IN PROGRESS

Action Item 20190820-4: AP to follow up on action item 20190618-1 and ask the ECG and legal team to provide a report on the proposed changes to the SLA for the IANA Numbering Services regarding RDNS before the September NRO EC Teleconference. GV noted that AP had contacted the legal team but he was unsure whether a reply had been received. **New Action Item 20191012-7: Secretariat to review the status of all pending action items assigned to AP and provide an overview to OR.**	IN PROGRESS
Action Item 20190820-7: AP to follow up on ACTION ITEM 20190618-5 and ask the Legal Team to provide its review of the proposed Fraud Handling Framework before the September NRO EC teleconference. *See New Action item 20191012-7.*	OPEN
ACTION ITEM 0190524-4: ALL to review and comment on the document on proposed changes to the <u>SLA for the IANA Numbering Service for reverse DNS resolution</u>. See discussion under agenda item 7.	CLOSED

11. Minutes Review

a) 2019-Nov-26: NRO EC Extraordinary Meeting on Empowered Community Approval Action - DRAFT (Pending: ARIN, LACNIC, RIPE NCC)

 b) 2019-Nov-8: Minutes NRO EC F2F Meeting Montreal - DRAFT (Pending: AFRINIC, LACNIC, RIPE NCC)

c) 2019-October 20: Minutes NRO EC Teleconference - DRAFT (Pending: AFRINIC, RIPE NCC)

KR noted that, as there was no other RIPE NCC representative on this call aside from AP, the RIPE NCC would abstain from approval of this set of minutes.

OR asked all to approve the pending minutes as soon as possible.

12. Next Meetings

a) Tuesday, 21 January 2020 Teleconference (new roles in place)

The NRO EC decided to reschedule this meeting to 14 January 2020

b) Tuesday, 18 February 2020 Teleconference

c) Tuesday, 17 March 2020 Teleconference (one week after ICANN 67)

The NRO EC agreed to remove this teleconference from the schedule, as an F2F Meeting (tentative) would be held after the ICANN 67 Meeting in Cancun on 13/14 March.

OR asked GV to make the changes to the NRO EC calendar.

13. AOB

There was no AOB.

14. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned.