2018-Oct-9: Minutes NRO EC Teleconference

Date: Tuesday, 9 October 2018, 11:00 UTC

Attendees

Executive Council:

Paul Wilson (PW)	APNIC	Chair
Alan Barrett (AB)	AFRINIC	Secretary – Vice Chair
John Curran (JC)	ARIN	
Oscar Robles (OR)	LACNIC	
Axel Pawlik (AP)	RIPE NCC	Treasurer

Observers:

Sanjaya (SJ)	APNIC
Pablo Hinojosa (PH)	APNIC
Paul Andersen (PA)	ARIN Board
Nate Davis (ND)	ARIN
Richard Jimmerson (RJ)	ARIN
Ernesto Majó (EM)	LACNIC

Secretariat:

German Vadez (GV)	NRO
Susannah Gray (SG)	NRO (Scribe)

Agenda

- 1. Welcome
- 2. Agenda Review
- 3. ASO Future Structure Consultation Update
- 4. NRO EC f2f Agenda
- 5. ICANN 63 Barcelona
 - a) ICANN Opening RIR 5 min Statement

- b) Agenda Topics with ICANN Board Directors Tuesday 23 October 8:30 9:3
- c) ASO Update Tuesday 23 October 10:30 12:00
- d) ITHI session Wednesday 24 October 10:30
- e) Joint ASO AC NRO EC Meeting Wed 24 October 13:30 15:00
- f) ICANN Board and NRO EC Private Session 15:15 16:45
- g) NRO EC ICANN 63 Calendars
- 6. I* Agenda
- 7. ASO AC Quorum Changes Procedure
- 8. CFO Meeting
- 9. CG Reports / Consultations
 - a) CCG
 - b) ECG
 - c) RSCG
 - d) Secretariat on New NRO Website
- 10. Review Open Actions
- 11. Minutes Review
 - a) August 2018
 - b) July 2018
 - c) June 2018
- 12. Next Meetings
 - a) F2f Meeting Friday 19 October (afternoon) Amsterdam in RIPE NCC Offices (Right before ICANN 63)
 - b) Meeting with I* Saturday 27 October 2018
 - c) Teleconference Tuesday 20 November (week after IGF)
 - d) Teleconference Tuesday 18 December (last meeting of the year)
- 13. AOB
 - a) ARIN RPKI Update
 - b) NRO New Website Update
- 14. Adjourn

Resolutions

No resolutions were passed during this meeting.

New Action Items

The following action Items were assigned during this meeting:

NEW ACTION ITEM 20181009-1: PW to send response to the ICANN Board regarding its two questions and a clarifying note on collaboration.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20181009-2: PW contact the ASO AC Chair to discuss whether it had content for an ASO open session during ICANN 63.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20181009-3: All to review the ITHI presentation and provide comments.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20181009-4: GV to inform the ASO AC that the NRO EC has approved the proposed changes to section 5.2 Quorum of the ASO AC Operating Procedures.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20181009-5: All to review and subsequently approve the 2017 NRO distribution formula.

1. Welcome

PW welcomed the attendees. He noted that AB was having issues connecting and that the meeting would continue in the meantime.

2. Agenda Review

JC added an item, RPKI at ARIN, to AOB.

3. ASO Future Structure Consultation Update

The NRO EC discussed the status of the ASO Future Structure Consultation.

JC noted that no ASO Future Structure Consultation session was held at the most recent ARIN meeting, ARIN 42, and ARIN's status had not changed since the previous update to the NRO EC. He added that a summary of the community discussion was sent to the NRO EC and the straw man proposal was sent to the community mailing list. He noted that there had not yet been any comments on the straw man proposal.

AP noted there had been no change in status in the RIPE region.

OR noted that a report of the community discussions and a summary of the recommendations, which were aligned with what was outlined in the straw man proposal, were sent to the LACNIC community. He noted that no comments had been received from the community and that the consultation process had been concluded in the LACNIC region.

PW noted that a session on the ASO Future Structure was held during APNIC 46 in September, during which he had presented the straw man proposal. He noted that there was discussion, led by the WG Chairs Aftab Siddiqui and Izumi Okutani, about the parameters of the proposal. During the session, some straw polling took place and, subsequently, the Chairs set up a straw poll online. He noted that the results had recently been published on the mailing list and no responses received yet.

PW continued that the APNIC community discussed several questions as a result of his presentation on the straw man proposal. He added that he did not participate in this discussion:

- Continuing the right to appoint Number community representatives to the ICANN Board received strong support, as did continued participation in ICANN's Empowered Community.
- There was strong support for having one single point of contact for ICANN and the name ASO was favored over NRO. However, there was also support for using either name as long as just one name was used.
- There was strong support for a global team to be convened to continue the discussion.
- The community had also discussed whether engagement with ICANN on the implementation of the Recommendations should be handled by the NRO EC as proposed in the straw man proposal or whether the community should be involved. There was support for community members' involvement.

AB joined the call at 11:15 am UTC.

JC noted that there was some overlap in the conclusions from the five regions but they were not fully aligned: a community group, similar to the CRISP Team, might need to be convened to come to a single view and to prepare a global proposal.

JC continued that he had no preference on how such a group should be convened but suggested adapting the CRISP Team Charter and ensuring a clear end point is documented. He suggested that the team should be comprised of RIR staff and community members and that the ASO AC representatives should be involved as they have good insight into the RIR-ICANN relationship.

OR asked if there was an overview of the differences between the RIRs or a list of the areas that were not aligned with the straw man proposal.

JC noted that, in the ARIN region:

- The community was ambivalent about participating in the ICANN Empowered Community and appointing Number community representatives to the ICANN Board.
- The community was in full agreement that just one name should be used; however, there was disagreement about what that name should be.

JC noted that the APNIC community survey results expressed a preference to use ASO. However, using this name would encourage us being treated as an ICANN SO by the ICANN staff and community, and only encourage invitations to activities not germane to our purpose.

OR noted that a list of items that are not compatible should be created to see what action needs to be taken. The name is an important issue but it might be the only thing that needs to be solved: starting a 12-month process to decide which name should be used might not be necessary.

He continued that terms of reference for a CRISP type team should be drafted and the NRO EC should be clear on what needs to be aligned in the regions: at this point it is not clear that a formal process is needed.

AP agreed with OR and JC: if the only issue was regarding which name to use, he would be in favor of the NRO EC trying to align the communities. He did not think a community-wide effort was necessary for this.

PW asked if the issue of the name was the only issue. He noted that there were some questions asked in the APNIC survey that had not been fully addressed in other regions, such participation in the ICANN Empowered Community and appointment of Number community representatives to the ICANN Board. He asked if it was likely that these issues would align in other regions.

JC noted that in the ARIN region, the community initially had some very strong views that the Number community's relationship with ICANN should be terminated. However, after more discussion the consensus was that there could be a relationship as long as the Numbers community defines that relationship and it is clear on expectations.

He continued that there was no clear consensus about participating in the ICANN Empowered Community and appointing number community representatives to the ICANN Board. The community was clear that there should be greater clarity about the relationship and that it should not be defined by ICANN. He did not think there was direct conflict between the ARIN and APNIC community positions.

AB noted that there had not been much substantive discussion in the AFRINIC region. He added that a call for discussion had been issued in July but no comments had yet been received. He continued that, to encourage

community discussion, he'd be in favor of a CRISP type team. If the NRO EC does not decide to convene a CRISP type team, he suggested asking the ASO AC representatives to further encourage discussion in the region.

AB continued that, in response to the straw man proposal, the AFRINIC Board had provided some informal comments: the Number community's role should not be too limited. For example, serving on CCWG was a good thing for RIRs and any future relationship with ICANN should allow for such participation.

PW noted that there were three more RIR meetings before the year ends: AFRINIC, LACNIC and RIPE. He asked if any of the CEOs intended on holding further consultations with their communities before the next version of the straw man proposal is prepared.

AP noted that he did not intend to go back to the community before the next version of the straw man proposal was ready.

OR noted that, as a matter of order, the NRO EC should wait until all regions have held their meetings, even if there was no intention to discuss further or gather further comments at those meeting. Once the meetings have been held, a summary of the areas where there is agreement should be prepared. He continued that the NRO EC could then consult with the legal teams to see what Bylaw changes might be necessary without involving the community. If there are strong disagreements, the NRO EC could discuss which specific questions could be put to a CRISP type team.

It was noted that AFRINIC and APNIC were the only two RIRs with ongoing consultations

JC agreed with OR: once AFRINIC's meeting has concluded, the NRO EC should note areas of agreement and disagreement and revisit the straw man proposal. He added that, at that point, the NRO EC could discuss whether there should be another round of consolations in the regions or whether a cross-RIR team should be convened.

PW noted that there were 17 other Recommendations, which needed to be incorporated into a new version of the straw man proposal or declared overtaken by events.

JC added that many of the 17 other Recommendations were dependent on the outcomes of the consultations and the path the NRO EC eventually decides to take. He noted that once the RIR meetings have concluded, the NRO EC should revisit the Recommendations and issue a statement about the current situation.

4. NRO EC F2F Agenda

The NRO EC discussed the agenda for its upcoming NRO EC F2F meeting in Amsterdam.

- Unified Internet Number Registry Privacy Framework
- · Process for agreeing upon and endorsing joint RIR documents

5. ICANN 63 Barcelona

The NRO EC discussed activities during the upcoming ICANN 63 Meeting:

a) ICANN Opening - RIR 5 min Statement

PW noted that he would present the statement on behalf of the NRO during the Opening Plenary and asked for feedback on the presentation.

b) Agenda Topics with ICANN Board Directors - Tuesday 23 October 8:30 - 9:30

The NRO EC discussed the agenda for the open meeting with the ICANN Board of Directors.

PW noted that the ICANN Board had sent some questions to the NRO EC in preparation for this open session:

- What will be your main priorities in 2019?
- How should ICANN's multi-stakeholder model of governance and Policy Development Process evolve to balance the increasing need for inclusivity, accountability and transparency, with the imperative of getting our work done and our policies developed in a more effective and timely manner, and with the efficient utilization of ICANN's resources?

NEW ACTION ITEM 20181009-1: PW to send response to the ICANN Board regarding its two questions and a clarifying note on collaboration.

c) ASO Update - Tuesday 23 October 10:30 - 12:00

The NRO EC discussed the agenda for the ASO Update session.

PW noted that the usual NRO and ASO reports could be given.

JC suggested that the session be cancelled unless there's a specific reason to hold it.

AP agreed. He noted that, in the past, there have been very few attendees in the room who were not already part of the RIR community or staff.

PW agreed that attendance was usually low.

OR noted that he would prefer that the session was cancelled if there was nothing relevant to say. If, however, the NRO EC agrees to hold the session, an update on the ASO Future Structure Consultations could be given.

AB noted that, as few people who were not RIR insiders have attended these sessions in the past, he was not sure of the value of the session. He noted, however, that he would not be comfortable cancelling it without broader discussion. The ICANN meeting is one of the few places where all regions gather on neutral ground outside of the RIR meetings and there might be some value in that.

JC suggested that the NRO EC ask the ASO AC if it had anything to discuss during the session.

GV noted that the ASO AC would discuss this in its upcoming teleconference.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20181009-2: PW contact the ASO AC Chair to discuss whether it had content for an ASO open session during ICANN 63.

PW noted that he believed that ICANN was being courteous by providing the session and it was up to the NRO EC to make use of the session or inform ICANN that it would not need this session at ICANN 63.

JC agreed: ICANN was facilitating community involvement. He added that there was heavy overlap between the open ASO and ICANN Board session, which was usually better attended than the ASO session.

PW noted the ASO AC could be invited to participate in the open ASO and Board session. He suggested that the sessions converge on the open Board and ASO session and the ASO session is cancelled.

d) ITHI session - Wednesday 24 October 10:30

PW noted that the NRO had been invited to give a presentation on its ITHI efforts. He added that he had circulated the presentation, which had been put together by the RSCG and the ECG and asked if the NRO EC would like to go ahead with the presentation.

There were no objections.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20181009-3: All to review the ITHI presentation and provide comments.

e) Joint ASO AC - NRO EC Meeting - Wednesday 24 October 13:30 - 15:00

The NRO EC discussed the agenda for the Joint ASO AC – NRO EC Meeting.

PW noted that an update on the ASO Future Structure Consultations should be given.

JC noted that the NRO EC should discuss this during the upcoming F2F, create a list of items for the ASO AC to follow up on and send it to the AC as soon as possible so it has time to prepare.

f) ICANN Board and NRO EC Private Session - Wednesday 15:15 - 16:45

PW noted that this meeting could be used to deal with any business arising from the ICANN Meeting week.

g) NRO EC ICANN 63 Calendars

GV noted that the usual relevant sessions had been included in the calendar. He also noted that he had added the GAC High Level Meeting on Monday 22 October: the first part of this meeting relates to post IANA transition.

PW noted that he had also been notified about a session on auction proceeds. He asked if this is a dedicated session that should also be noted on the calendar.

GV noted that there was no general public session on the auction proceeds. The GAC and the GNSO would be discussing auction proceeds during their tracks.

6. I* Agenda

The NRO EC discussed the agenda for the upcoming I* retreat.

7. ASO AC Quorum Changes Procedure

PW noted that the ASO AC had agreed upon changes to its procedure for achieving quorum.

GV added that the changes were agreed upon according to procedure. For the ASO AC to adopt these changes, the NRO EC must review and approve them.

PW gave an overview of the current procedure and the proposed changes:

Current:

Eight members of the council shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business providing that there is at least one person present from each of the five (5) RIR Geographic Regions.

Proposed:

Eight members of the council shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business providing that there is at least one person present from each of the five (5) RIR Geographic Regions. If all three ASO AC representatives from a particular region are unable to attend an ASO AC meeting, they can specifically request that the meeting is rescheduled, at least 24hrs before the start of the meeting. Unless such a rescheduling request has been received, a quorum of 4 regions will be accepted for the ASO AC meeting to proceed.

There were no objections. The NRO EC approved the proposed changes.

PW added that the NRO EC should also ensure that their region's ASO AC representatives attend the calls.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20181009-4: GV to inform the ASO AC that the NRO EC has approved the proposed changes to section 5.2 Quorum of the ASO AC Operating Procedures.

8. CFO Meeting

GV noted that the CFOs hold an annual meeting to share experiences and work on joint projects. Part of the agenda involves reviewing NRO expenses. He continued that, as the CFOs were not a formal CG, approval for their meeting is handled internally by each RIR. He noted that he wanted to inform the NRO EC for the sake of transparency and ask for approval on behalf of the CFOs to hold the annual meeting.

There were no objections. The NRO EC approved the CFOs' annual meeting.

9. CG Reports / Consultations

PW gave an overview of the CGs' activities:

a) CCG

- Preparations for the IGF are ongoing
 - o The RIR booth is being organized and materials are being designed and updated.
 - o Four CCG members will attend in addition to other RIR staff.
 - The NRO website redesign as been completed (see Secretariat update in AOB).
 - The NRO PowerPoint template has been redesigned.
 - Work in progress includes a Comparative Membership Statistics Matrix, which was initiated by ARIN.
 - Some RIRs have yet to complete the matrix.

JC noted that there were occasional requests for data on how big the Internet registry system is and how many members the RIRs have. As each RIR has a different way of defining its membership it is hoped that the matrix can provide a more accurate answer to this question.

PW suggested that information from this matrix is added to the standard set of statistics that are gathered if it is not already included. He asked the NRO EC to encourage their CCG representatives to update the matrix.

PW noted that there is also an action on the CCG to come up with standard definitions for database and registry. He asked the NRO EC what the priority for this was.

JC suggested that AP present an overview of the terminology and how it is used during the NRO EC's F2F upcoming F2F meeting. He continued that it would make the CCG's work much easier if the NRO EC first discussed this.

AP agreed to give an overview during the F2F meeting.

b) ECG

- RPKI: The RIPE NCC is generating the combined RPKI reports and has put out draft specs. Each RIR is working to produce data for comparative stats in time for the IETF in November.
- RDAP Alignment: ARIN has created a repository on the RIR GitLab. ECG members are working on issues of misalignment of RDAP and progress will be reviewed at the next ECG meeting.
- RPKI Delta Protocol: A codeshare repository has been made available on the GitLab for those who want to use the code to support the Delta Protocol.

c) RSCG

- ITHI: AFRINIC and RIPE NCC have yet to share documents regarding their measurement specifications.
 - o Each RIR will use the same measurements of completeness and uniqueness but RIRs might adopt different procedures for measuring correctness and currency of ITHI data.
- F2F Meeting: the RSCG will meet in Barcelona on 22-23 October, where it will be working on WHOIS accuracy and inter-RIR transfer procedures.
- The RSCG is still working on the updated statistics presentation.
- The RSCG will hold a teleconference at the end of October. The agenda will include discussion on quarterly stats updates and publication.

PW noted that there was a commitment to publish the ITHI as of 2019 and asked AP and AB to encourage their RSCG representatives to provide any outstanding information.

10. Review Open Actions

ACTION ITEM 20180821-1: JC to edit the NRO EC Draft statement on the Public Consultation to Determine the Future Structure of the ASO according to the discussion and circulate to the list.	CLOSED.
ACTION ITEM 20180717-1: AP/JC to draft a straw man proposal on how to move the ASO Future Structure Consultations forward. The proposal should be published by end of August 2018 [ASO Review]	CLOSED.
ACTION ITEM 20180717-3: OR to send a brief note to Göran Marby and Cherine Chalaby thanking them for the meeting and summarizing the points about NRO/ASO's cooperation with ICANN and ICANN's IPv6 initiative.	CLOSED.

ACTION ITEM 20180717-5: Secretariat to keep the ASO Review Report Recommendation Implementation Status document updated according to the progress reported in future NRO EC meetings.	ONGOING
ACTION ITEM 20180628-4: JC to draft a procedure for periodic reviews of the ASO [ASO Review Rec #3]	OPEN
ACTION ITEM 20180628-5: JC to confirm that the ASO AC is working on Recommendation #7 [ASO Review Rec #7].	CLOSED
ACTION ITEM 20180628-10: All to review and comment on the draft Framework for Personal Data in the Internet Numbers Registry System	IN PROGRESS
ACTION ITEM 20180316-11: ALL to review and subsequently approve the 2017 NRO expense report v2 and the 2017 NRO distribution formula.	
GV noted that the 2017 Expense report had been approved by the NRO EC. The 2017 NRO Distribution Formula was still under discussion and would be reviewed by CFOs during their meeting in November.	CLOSED
Action replaced by:	
NEW ACTION ITEM 20181009-5: All to review and subsequently approve the 2017 NRO distribution formula.	

11. Minutes Review

- a) August 2018
- b) July 2018
- c) June 2018

All three sets of minutes were approved.

12. Next Meetings

PW gave an overview of upcoming meetings:

- a) F2f Meeting Friday 19 October (afternoon) Amsterdam in RIPE NCC Offices (Right before ICANN 63)
- b) Meeting with I* Saturday 27 October 2018
- c) Teleconference Tuesday 20 November (week after IGF)
- d) Teleconference Tuesday 18 December (last meeting of the year)

13. AOB

JC gave an update on RPKI at ARIN.

SG gave an update on the new NRO website:

- The new NRO website has been implemented on the RIPE NCC's servers and has been running in parallel with the current site for the last few weeks. All is behaving as expected.
- An announcement was made on the website, Twitter and via the RIR mailing lists. No feedback has been received so far.
- The Secretariat has been working with the RIPE NCC legal team regarding GDPR requirements for the website and this is mostly resolved.
- The switchover date was planned for 15 October but as that falls during the RIPE Meeting, the switchover date has been moved to 25 October.

14. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 13:10 UTC.