

2018-July-17: Minutes NRO EC Teleconference

Date: Tuesday, 17 July 2018, 11:00 UTC

Attendees

Executive Council:

Paul Wilson (PW)	APNIC	Chair
Alan Barrett (AB)	AFRINIC	Secretary – Vice Chair
Axel Pawlik (AP)	RIPE NCC	Treasurer
John Curran (JC)	ARIN	
Oscar Robles (OR)	LACNIC	

Observers:

Christian Bope (CB)	AFRINIC Board
Pablo Hinojosa (PH)	APNIC
Izumi Okutani (IO)	APNIC Executive Council
Nate Davis (ND)	ARIN
Richard Jimmerson (RJ)	ARIN
Ernesto Majó (EM)	LACNIC

Secretariat:

Susannah Gray (SG)	NRO (Scribe)
--------------------	--------------

Agenda

1. Welcome
2. Agenda Review
3. ASO Review Update
4. NRO EC Response to the ASO AC on CCWG Accountability Report
5. A Framework for Personal Data in the Internet Numbers Registry System
6. Response to the NTIA Notice of Inquiry on International Internet Policy Priorities
7. Göran Marby Meeting Debrief
 - a) Cooperation with ICANN
 - b) Status of ICANN's IPv6 Initiative
8. ISOC's IGF New Structure Response
9. IGFSAs Contribution
- 10 NRO Website Review
 - a) Historical Broken Links
11. CG Reports / Consultations
 - a) CCG
 - b) ECG
 - c) RSCG
12. Review Open Actions
13. Minutes Review
 - a) June 2018
 - b) May 2018
14. Next Meetings
 - a) Teleconference Tuesday 21 August
 - b) Teleconference Tuesday 18 September

c) F2f Meeting Friday 19 (afternoon) Amsterdam in RIPE NCC Offices (Right before ICANN 63)

d) F2F Meeting in the week ICANN 63 (TENTATIVE)

15. AOB

16. Adjourn

Resolutions

No resolutions were passed during this meeting.

New Action Items

The following action Items were assigned during this meeting:

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180717-1: AP/JC to draft a strawman proposal on how to move the ASO Review forward. The proposal should be published by end of August 2018 [ASO Review].
--

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180717-2: JC to submit the joint RIR response to the NTIA NOI on International Internet Policy Priorities on behalf of the five RIRs addressing section 2d: <i>Should the IANA Stewardship Transition be unwound?</i>

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180717-3: OR to send a brief note to Göran Marby and Cherine Chalaby thanking them for the meeting and summarizing the points about NRO/ASO's cooperation with ICANN and ICANN's IPv6 initiative.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180717-4: AP to follow up on the request to contribute to the IGFSA and to report back to the NRO EC with further details.
--

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180717-5: Secretariat to keep the ASO Review Report Recommendation Implementation Status document updated according to the progress reported in future NRO EC meetings.

1. Welcome

PW welcomed the attendees and declared quorum.

2. Agenda Review

No additional items were added to the agenda.

3. ASO Review Update

PW asked the NRO to give an update on recent ASO Review activities in their regions. He added that the NRO EC had previously discussed whether it was necessary to set up a global coordination process and asked whether the NRO EC believed that it was in a position to initiate this already.

AB noted that, during the recent AFRINIC-28 Meeting, it was agreed by the AFRINIC community that the ASO AC representatives would lead a discussion on the future of the ASO. Discussion was initiated on the public mailing list but there had not been any input so far. He noted that the community had not discussed the possibility of the ASO AC representatives joining a global coordination group but he would support the creation of a group if it were needed.

PW noted that a discussion group had been initiated in the APNIC region in preparation for the upcoming APNIC 46 Meeting. It was agreed that the chairs of this discussion group would join a global coordination group if one were set up.

JC noted that a consultation was held with the ARIN community and was concluded during the ARIN 41 Meeting. A summary of written and verbal comments was sent to the ARIN community and to the NRO EC in May.

JC added that the ARIN community wanted to see a clear statement on the NRO's relationship with ICANN, which should be simplified and focus only on Internet number community matters. People are confused by the use of both the terms ASO and NRO, and it was suggested that the NRO/ASO should engage with ICANN only as the NRO/NRO EC. More significant changes to the number community/ICANN relationship should be deferred for future review cycles.

He continued that ARIN is now waiting to see whether the other regions' conclusions align with the conclusions in the ARIN region. He concluded that the consultation is now over in the ARIN region and staff would be tasked to facilitate the necessary work. However, if it appeared that there was no clear consensus across the regions, and a global coordination group was deemed necessary, he would raise the topic with the ARIN board.

OR explained that after the LACNIC 29 meeting, no further substantive comments were received on the mailing list from the LACNIC community. A summary of the comments would be sent to the community soon with the final conclusions.

He added that, considering the limited substantial input received so far from most of the communities, a common implementation might be possible. The legal teams and other RIR staff could probably handle the implementation. However, if it became apparent that a global team from the community should handle the work, then he had no issue with that. Currently, though, it does not look like such a team is necessary.

AP noted that the comment period had closed in the RIPE region. No further comments had been received after a few verbal comments from the community during the recent RIPE 76 Meeting. He continued that the NRO EC had previously discussed that a global coordination team would only be necessary if controversial comments appeared across the regions and a team similar to the CRISP Team would be needed to synthesize and consolidate the output. So far, it does not seem like this team is needed.

PW asked if the NRO EC should facilitate the global coordination process [instead of the proposed team].

AP noted that, since there had so far not been any controversial or diverging feedback, the NRO EC could act as the global coordination group. He suggested that the NRO EC draft a strawman proposal using the content of ARIN's Consultation Summary as the basis and ask the communities for feedback.

PW asked if the NRO EC agreed that it should be tasked with drafting a strawman proposal.

AB agreed and added that if a strawman proposal would be sent to the AFRINIC mailing list, it might help the community begin its discussion.

OR also agreed, as long as the proposal considered and respected each region's positions. He noted that one of the comments received from the LACNIC community was that the NRO EC should provide the proposal instead of asking the community to do so.

PW noted that NRO EC members are duty bound to respect the comments that come in from their respective regions.

JC noted that the NRO must be very clear in its communications on this: there had not been discussion in all regions that the possible next step would be for the NRO EC to draft an initial proposal. He continued that the NRO EC could inform the communities that consultations have been conducted in every region, feedback has been received from every region and that there is currently no common way forward suggested, so the NRO EC is therefore proposing a starting point for discussion to facilitate the way forward.

JC continued that if the five communities ended up with same proposal, the NRO EC would need only to ratify it. For ARIN, if the proposal aligns with what has already been discussed by the community, then staff could already begin implementation. If it does not align, the consultation would be reopened.

PW agreed with JC: any proposal published would need to be carefully communicated.

OR noted that once conclusions had been received from all five regions, the legal teams should be asked which documentation might need to be updated, including internal procedures, webpages, the MoU and ICANN Bylaws.

AP agreed.

JC suggested that the proposal should focus on the common, uncontested themes and describe the proposed changes that might address the issues rather than a summary of the regional discussions.

There was agreement.

PW concluded that the NRO EC would create a strawman proposal, which could be presented to the communities according to each region's processes. In the ARIN region the consultation was already closed but APNIC's was still ongoing: the proposal needed to be published before the upcoming APNIC meeting to ensure timely discussion.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180717-1: AP/JC to draft a strawman proposal on how to move the ASO Review forward. The proposal should be published by end of August 2018 [ASO Review].

4. NRO EC Response on CCWG Accountability Report

PW noted that he had sent a note to those who had represented the ASO on the CCWG Accountability, thanking them for their hard work and dedication.

5. A Framework for Personal Data in the Internet Numbers Registry System

The NRO EC discussed the Framework for Personal Data in the Internet Numbers Registry System.

6. Response to the NTIA Notice of Inquiry on International Internet Policy Priorities

The NRO EC discussed its response to the NOI on International Internet Policy Priorities. A brief joint response from all five RIRs would be submitted, addressing section 2d: *Should the IANA Stewardship Transition be unwound? If yes, why and how? If not, why not?*

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180717-2: JC to submit the joint RIR response to the NTIA NOI on International Internet Policy Priorities on behalf of the five RIRs addressing section 2d: *Should the IANA Stewardship Transition be unwound?*

7. Göran Marby Meeting Debrief

The NRO EC discussed the outcomes of its meeting with ICANN CEO Göran Marby:

- a) **Cooperation with ICANN**
- b) **Status of ICANN's IPv6 Initiative**

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180717-3: OR to send a brief note to Göran Marby and Cherine Chalaby thanking them for the meeting and summarizing the points about NRO/ASO's cooperation with ICANN and ICANN's IPv6 initiative.

8. ISOC's IGF new structure response

PW noted that he had sent a response to Raúl Echeberria regarding ISOC's proposed IGF reform.

9. IGFSAs Contribution

PW explained that the NRO had been asked to make a contribution to the IGFSAs and asked if the NRO EC agrees to contribute US\$ 50,000.

AP noted that he would follow up on the details of this request and report back.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180717-4: AP to follow up on the request to contribute to the IGFSAs and to report back to the NRO EC with further details.

10. NRO Website Review

PW explained that the NRO website is under review and that he would give an update on this during the CCG report.

- a) **Historical Broken Links**

PW noted that several broken links that should link to historical content on the ASO AC website and the individual RIR websites have been discovered on an ICANN archive page. He believed it important that the links to historical documentation as well as any missing documents be recovered and asked that the NRO Secretariat look into this, in consultation with the CCG.

11. CG Reports / Consultations

PW gave an overview of the CGs' activities:

a) CCG

- Preparation for the IGF is going ahead: staffing is being planned, booth space has been agreed upon and booth materials will be drafted soon.
- There would not be a F2F CCG meeting before the IGF.
- NRO website redesign: delivery of the revamped website should take place in August after some testing. A comprehensive outline of changes made is available on the NRO wiki.
- *ACTION ITEM 20180628-8*: the CCG has been advised of the request to update the ASO AC website with recent presentations, contact details and an archive of the activities of both the ASO AC and NRO EC, to the CCG [ASO Review Rec #17]. The CCG will use infographics where possible. Uploading presentations, contact details and the archive will be coordinated together with the NRO Secretariat.
- ARIN has developed a comparative membership matrix and has requested each RIR to provide stats. The resulting matrix could be displayed on the NRO website for information purposes.
- *ACTION ITEM 20180628-14*: the CCG has been asked to come up with terminology/definitions and guidelines on how to refer to/use the terms Registry and Database and will work on this.

b) ECG

The ECG had not had a meeting since the last NRO EC meeting so there was nothing further to report.

c) RSCG

- Progress was made on whois accuracy: discussion was underway about where accuracy figures were being published. There was also further review of the draft document, which was an attempt to converge the RIRs' approaches to, and policies on, whois accuracy.
- The NRO stats presentation was being updated.
- Proposed F2F meeting: the RSCG had been advised that the NRO EC would like to see an agenda and a list of objectives and this was being discussed.

12. Review Open Actions

ACTION ITEM 20180628-2: PW to send a note to the CCWG-Accountability representatives thanking them for their work.	CLOSED
ACTION ITEM 20180628-3: GV to send the CCWG-Accountability report to the ASO AC.	CLOSED
ACTION ITEM 20180628-4: JC to draft a procedure for periodic reviews of the ASO [ASO Review Rec #3].	OPEN
ACTION ITEM 20180628-5: JC to confirm that the ASO AC is working on Recommendation #7 [ASO Review Rec #7].	OPEN
ACTION ITEM 20180628-6: PW to refer Recommendation #8, increasing the use of infographics on the NRO website, to the CCG [ASO Review Rec #8].	CLOSED
ACTION ITEM 20180628-7: GV/SG: Create pages on ASO and NRO websites with clear instructions on who to contact for what activity/task [ASO Review Rec #12].	IN PROGRESS

<p>ACTION ITEM 20180628-8: PW to refer Recommendation #17, updating the ASO AC website with recent presentations, contact details and an archive of the activities of both the ASO AC and NRO EC, to the CCG [ASO Review Rec #17].</p> <p>PW noted that the CCG would ensure that there are placeholders on the NRO and ASO website for these items and would refer to the Secretariat for maintenance.</p>	CLOSED
<p>ACTION ITEM 20180628-9: JC to revise the ASO Review Report Recommendation Implementation Status document and update accordingly [ASO Review].</p>	CLOSED
<p>ACTION ITEM 20180628-9: JC to revise the ASO Review Report Recommendation Implementation Status document and update accordingly [ASO Review].</p> <p>JC noted that this had been completed: the document was updated according to the discussion from the previous NRO EC meeting.</p> <p>NEW ACTION ITEM 20180717-5: Secretariat to keep the ASO Review Report Recommendation Implementation Status document updated according to the progress reported in future NRO EC meetings.</p>	CLOSED
<p>ACTION ITEM 20180628-10: All to review and comment on the draft Framework for Personal Data in the Internet Numbers Registry System</p>	OPEN
<p>ACTION ITEM 20180628-11: All to reiterate the commitment to the Emergency Backup Operations for RIRs project to their respective engineering teams.</p> <p>PW noted that this action had also been passed on to the ECG.</p> <p>JC noted that he had received feedback that not all of the RIRs had indicated that their engineering staff should be working on this. He wanted to confirm that each RIR had told its staff to start working on the Emergency Backup Operations project and noted that he had told his staff to engage with others on this project.</p>	CLOSED
<p>ACTION ITEM 20180628-12: PW to draft formal request to the MAG to look into the issue of Technical Community appointments to the IGF MAG.</p>	OPEN
<p>ACTION ITEM 20180628-13: PW to ask RSCG to provide specific objectives for the proposed RSCG F2F meeting.</p>	CLOSED
<p>ACTION ITEM 20180628-14: PW to ask the CCG to come up with terminology/definitions and guidelines on how to refer to/use the terms Registry and Database.</p>	CLOSED
<p>ACTION ITEM 20180628-15: GV to send a Doodle Poll to find a time for an NRO EC meeting during ICANN 63.</p>	OPEN
<p>ACTION ITEM 20180515-6: AP to release the funds for the NRO's US\$ 75,000 contribution to the IGF Secretariat as per Resolution R-20180515-1.</p>	IN PROGRESS
<p>20180316-11: ALL to review and subsequently approve the 2017 NRO expense report v2 and the 2017 NRO distribution formula</p>	OPEN

13. Minutes Review

a) June 2018

Awaiting approval from ARIN and RIPE NCC.

b) May 2018

The minutes were approved.

14. Next Meetings

a) Teleconference Tuesday 21 August

b) Teleconference Tuesday 18 September

c) F2f Meeting Friday 19 (afternoon) Amsterdam in RIPE NCC Offices (Right before ICANN 63)

d) F2F Meeting in the week ICANN 63 (TENTATIVE)

JC noted that it was hard to plan for this tentative meeting without knowing when it would take place and how observers, for example, would be handled.

SG noted that GV was waiting for ICANN to publish the meeting agenda in order to suggest suitable times for the F2F meeting.

OR noted that there was no need to wait for the agenda to be published as it would not be released until a few weeks before the Amsterdam meeting: the NRO EC should already have a good idea of its availability during the ICANN week.

PW agreed and thought that it may be too late to wait for the agenda and asked that GV send the doodle poll as soon as possible.

15. AOB

There was no AOB.

16. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 12:30 UTC.