

2018-Apr-24: Minutes NRO EC Teleconference

Date: Tuesday, 24 April 2018, 11:00 UTC.

Attendees

Executive Council:

Paul Wilson (PW)	APNIC	Chair
Alan Barrett (AB)	AFRINIC	Secretary – Vice Chair
Axel Pawlik (AP)	RIPE NCC	Treasurer
John Curran (JC)	ARIN	
Oscar Robles (OR)	LACNIC	

Observers:

Pablo Hinojosa (PH)	APNIC
Izumi Okutani	APNIC EC
Sanjaya (SJ)	APNIC
Paul Andersen	ARIN Board
Nate Davis (ND)	ARIN
Richard Jimmerson	ARIN
Ernesto Majó	LACNIC
Paul Rendek	RIPE NCC

Secretariat:

German Valdez (GV)	NRO
Susannah Gray (SG)	NRO (Scribe)

Agenda

1. Welcome
2. Agenda Review
3. Minutes Review
 - a) February 2018
 - b) March 2018 (f2f)
4. ASO Review
 - a) Implementation Status (ASO Review Report Recommendation Implementation Status)
 - b) Cross-Regional Coordination
5. NRO EC response to message from Andrew Alston
6. ITHI Document
7. NRO EC at ICANN 62
 - a) Meeting with Göran Marby
8. ICANN Board Seat 9 Election
9. IANA Review Committee [Report](#)
10. CG Reports / Consultations
 - a) CCG
 - i) IGF report
 - b) ECG
 - c) RSCG
11. Review Open Actions
12. Next Meetings
 - a) Teleconference Tuesday 15 May (during RIPE 76)
 - b) Teleconference Tuesday 19 June (week before ICANN 62)
 - c) Teleconference Tuesday 17 July
 - d) F2f Meeting Friday 19 & Saturday 20 October 2018 Amsterdam (Right before ICANN 63)

13. AOB

14. Adjourn

Resolutions

<p><i>R-20180424-1: The NRO EC resolves to inform the Empowered Community Administration of the ASO's appointment of Ron da Silva to Seat 9 of the ICANN Board.</i></p>
--

New Action Items

<p>ACTION ITEM 20180424-1: AP to approve February and March 2018 minutes ASAP.</p>
--

<p>ACTION ITEM 20180424-4: GV to add the ITHI Document review to the next NRO EC Meeting agenda.</p>
--

<p>ACTION ITEM 20180424-5: PW to contact ICANN to find out Göran Marby's availability on 28/29 June.</p>
--

<p>ACTION ITEM 20180424-6: GV to send a response to the IANA Review Committee on behalf of the NRO EC noting that the NRO EC has noted its report and to thank it for its work.</p>

<p>ACTION ITEM 20180424-7: PW to inform the CCG that the NRO EC has no objections to its recommendations for IGF activities and that the NRO EC expects the CCG to take the appropriate actions to prepare for the upcoming IGF.</p>
--

<p>ACTION ITEM 20180424-8: ALL to review the ITHI report and suggest a reasonable deadline for publishing during the next NRO EC teleconference.</p>
--

<p>ACTION ITEM 20180424-9: PW and GV to contact the ASO AC Chair regarding its report on the WS2 report recommendations: the NRO EC welcomes its report and would also like to see an overview of any proposed changes to the ASO.</p>
--

<p>ACTION ITEM 20180424-10: PW to share details of ECG's request to use Bitbucket to gather RIR feedback on RDAP.</p>

1. Welcome

PW welcomed the attendees and declared quorum.

2. Agenda Review

There were no items added to the agenda.

3. Minutes Review

a) February 2018

b) March 2018 (f2f)

Approval from RIPE NCC is needed to publish both sets of minutes. Once received, minutes should be published on the NRO EC website.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180424-1: AP to approve February and March 2018 minutes ASAP.

4. ASO Review

a) ASO Review Report Recommendation Implementation Status

JC noted that he had highlighted some areas in the ASO Review Report Recommendation Implementation Status document where the NRO EC might need to make some decisions about when to act. He wondered whether the EC should hold off on updating ASO documentation given that the documentation might be subject to further review after the ASO Review consultations on the ASO Future Structure.

PW clarified that there are two types of change that need to be made: ones that can be made already and ones that need to be deferred until the review process is complete.

JC added that in some cases, such as updating legal documents, initiating a process with ICANN might be required.

PW agreed and noted that if the required updates are to internal NRO/ASO documentation, the NRO EC should move ahead already with the updates to demonstrate it is making progress. If the Recommendations involve external parties, they may need to be deferred until after the consultation process is complete.

JC noted that he would review the Implementation Status Document and apply these criteria to each Recommendation as part of his related action item: *20180316-22: JC to add the Joint Response to the ASO Review to the wiki and annotate with current statuses and pending actions.*

b) Cross-Regional Coordination

The NRO EC discussed the ASO Review consultations and cross-regional coordination.

PW noted that the NRO EC had previously discussed the possible need for a cross-registry coordination group to converge the eventual regional outputs. He noted that one of the co-Chairs of [APNIC's ASO Review Working Group](#) had put forward a proposal for a lightweight coordination structure but that no decision was made about this during the recent APNIC meeting. He asked the NRO EC if, after the fifth RIR consultation was complete and a need for such a cross-registry coordination group is deemed necessary, each RIR would be able to provide names of representatives fairly quickly.

AP noted that if, after consultations had taken place in all regions and the output was divergent, it would be helpful to set up a coordination group and names of representatives could be provided quickly for the RIPE representatives. However, as there is currently no community feedback from AFRINIC, LACNIC or RIPE yet, he believed that starting the process of forming such a group would be premature.

JC agreed. He noted that, at ARIN's initial face-to-face session, the discussion was more moderate than it had been during initial mailing list discussions. The ARIN community noted that the ASO needed to be simplified and that there is value that should be maintained. He added that he believed a cross-registry team would need to be set up at some point, as output does not seem to be aligned across the regions at this point.

JC continued that for ARIN, if a cross-registry group were to be convened, the ARIN community would need to come up with a proposal but that the convening, chartering and Terms of Reference of such a group are RIR operational matters. He added that he believed the group should not set its own lifespan.

PW noted that he would like to avoid a major delay in kicking off the process once the five regional consultations have taken place. The NRO EC should be ready to act as soon as the initial consultations are over.

JC noted that he would be in favour of working on a charter for a coordination group already: it is better to have a charter and ToR ready and not use them than to need them and not have them.

OR believed it would be premature to work on the proposed coordination group's ToR. LACNIC launched its public consultation only yesterday: it would be better to wait until more substantial discussion in the LACNIC region has taken place in order for him to have a better idea on how to move forward.

He continued that there is still the chance that all five communities converge on a way forward. Then the NRO EC could simply ask the Legal Teams to provide an implementation plan. However, the NRO EC should wait until all five regions have a good overview on how the discussion is developing. He suggested that this topic is revisited during the May Teleconference to see if the NRO EC is in a position to consider the formation of a coordination group or whether it should wait another month.

AB noted that AFRINIC is planning a public session on the ASO Review during AIS in May. He did not anticipate any reason for delays. He added if the NRO EC wanted to convene a group to work on proposals for a coordination group, he saw no reason why this could not be done in June or July already.

PW agreed that the NRO EC should not assume that a coordination group would need to be convened: however, if such a group is needed, the NRO EC should work to be in a position to support the launch of a group mid-year, after this initial round of consultations.

5. NRO EC Response on AFRINIC Board Elections

The NRO EC discussed the recent note it had received from an AFRINIC member [calling for intervention](#) in an AFRINIC Board matter.

6. ITHI Document

GV noted that there is an open action for the NRO EC to review the ITHI Document: *ACTION ITEM 20180316-5*.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180424-4: GV to add the ITHI Document review to the next NRO EC Meeting agenda.

7. NRO EC at ICANN 62

a) Meeting with Göran Marby

JC noted that he would need to book travel for this as soon as possible if it were going to go ahead. He can only attend on 28/29 June due to the NANOG meeting.

PW noted that as a meeting between the ASO and the ICANN CEO was requested due to keen mutual interest, the meeting should go ahead. It is a good opportunity to discuss the ASO Review and Recommendations.

JC asked whether a formal NRO EC meeting, open to observers and with an agenda, would also be arranged. He wondered whether the discussion with Göran Marby would be part of this meeting or would a separate meeting be arranged.

PW noted that, at a minimum, a meeting with Göran Marby and the NRO EC should take place. However, he noted that it would be a shame to have all five CEOs at ICANN 62 and for them not to hold a formal meeting, which would need to be open to observers.

OR noted that a meeting with Göran Marby should be scheduled already: it is better to schedule it and cancel than the opposite.

PW noted that he had also contacted Adiel Akplogan and Carlos Reyes to confirm if the ASO needs to participate in any other session at ICANN 62.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180424-5: PW to contact ICANN to find out Göran Marby's availability on 28/29 June.

8. ICANN Board Seat 9 Election

PW noted that the ASO AC had selected Ron da Silva to fill Seat 9 on the ICANN Board.

GV explained that the candidates have been notified of the outcome of the election. As per the new ICANN Bylaws, the next step is for the Designated Representative to inform the Empowered Community Administration of the ASO's selection for Seat 9. This is the first time this step has been performed.

GV continued that, as per *Resolution-20180316-1: The NRO EC resolves to appoint the NRO Secretary as the Designated Representative to the ICANN Empowered Community for the ASO*, it is now AB's responsibility to notify the relevant people of the election results. A draft note has already been prepared. He added that other SOs also provide a formal letter and noted that he would work with AB to prepare this if necessary.

PW noted that he not yet informed ICANN that the ASO's Designated Representative had changed from the NRO EC Chair to the NRO Secretary as per action item *20180316-3: PW to advise ICANN of the change in the designated representative to the empowered community (to NRO Secretary)*. He noted that he would do this immediately.

JC noted that when the Empowered Community Administration is informed of ASO decisions, it is a good idea to ensure that the relevant resolutions associated with the decision are provided for clarity.

JC proposed that the NRO EC resolves to inform the Empowered Community Administration of the appointment of Ron da Silva to seat 9 of the ICANN Board.

There were no objections.

R-20180424-1: The NRO EC resolves to inform the Empowered Community Administration of the ASO's appointment of Ron da Silva to Seat 9 of the ICANN Board.

9. IANA Review Committee Report

GV noted that IANA Review Committee [Report](#) had been circulated on the NRO EC mailing list and published on the [NRO website](#).

PW noted that the NRO EC is expected to accept this report and notify the IRC of this, thanking it for its work. He asked if there were any objections to having this formality completed by the Secretariat. To streamline this, the NRO Secretariat could be tasked to share the report with the NRO EC, inform it of any points that need consideration and then notify the IRC that the NRO EC has noted the report.

There were no objections.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180424-6: GV to send a response to the IANA Review Committee on behalf of the NRO EC noting that the NRO EC has noted its report and to thank it for its work.

10. CG Reports / Consultations

a) CCG

- **IGF report**

PW explained that he had asked the CCG to provide a report on its activities at the IGF, which he had circulated to the NRO EC. He noted that the CCG had some recommendations that don't require immediate action but asked the NRO EC to accept the recommendations so that the CCG could continue its work to prepare for the upcoming IGF. Preparations include suggesting the objectives that need to be fulfilled at the upcoming IGF, working out what dedicated resources are required, and requirements for booth improvements.

PW asked the NRO EC if there were any objections to the CCG's recommendations. There were no objections.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180424-7: PW to inform the CCG that the NRO EC has no objections to its recommendations for IGF activities and that the NRO EC expects the CCG to take the appropriate actions to prepare for the upcoming IGF.

- **CCG Report**

PW gave a brief overview of the CCG's current activities:

- Evaluation of IGF 2017 and recommendations for IGF 2018 are ongoing: the location and date still unknown.
- The NRO website redesign is still in progress. The next iteration was reviewed and some changes requested. A new preview should be available shortly.

b) ECG

PW noted that there were no updates from the ECG to report.

c) RSCG

PW gave a brief overview of the RSCG's activities:

- The RSCG is incorporating feedback on the ITHI document.
- The team is still reviewing the measurement details from each RIR and will try to converge by its next teleconference

- The RSCG has asked the NRO EC what the priority on ITHI is so it can plan accordingly to schedule publication of the first set of metrics. The team would like to have an expected target date to work towards.
- whois accuracy: there is a draft copy of the whois accuracy paper which is completed but still needs to be agreed upon by some of the RSCG team. The draft will be uploaded to the RSCG wiki page shortly.
- Registry Accuracy Inter-RIR Transfer Procedures: best practices for transfers are a work in progress.
- Updated NRO Statistics presentation: a template has been developed by the RSCG, the budget for which was discussed and approved by the NRO EC during the F2F meeting in Puerto Rico. The graphic design work will now be commissioned.
- RDAP consistency is a work in progress along with RPKI.
- The RSCG will meet in May: the date is as yet undetermined.

PW asked if the NRO EC would like to reach out to their respective teams and discuss what would be a reasonable timeline.

JC noted that once the NRO EC approves the ITHI document, the RSCG could publish any time it likes. He noted he would ask the ARIN team when it thinks the document would be ready to publish.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180424-8: ALL to review the ITHI report and suggest a reasonable deadline for publishing during the next NRO EC teleconference.

11. Review Open Actions

20180316-1: GV to keep NRO EC updated of any developments form the CCWG Accountability WS2.

GV explained that Jorge Villa (JV) and Fiona Asonga (FA) were tasked with documenting any impact on the ASO from the conclusions of the CCWG Accountability WS2 Report. The document is scheduled to be delivered this week and will be forwarded to the NRO EC.

JC noted that this action item is for GV to keep the NRO EC updated. However, GV's update notes that the ASO AC believes that the ASO could be impacted by the recommendations in the WS2 report. He continued that, at best, any recommendations in the WS2 report are proposals for the NRO EC's consideration: the ASO is not subject to unilateral changes requested by ICANN groups. If there is a proposal to change any ASO procedures, it should be brought to the NRO EC's attention for it to consider.

PW noted he had similar concerns. During the recent ASO AC F2F Meeting, a summary of the WS2 report recommendations was given, which included blanket statements about what was expected from the SOs. He noted that he had spoken with FA and Michael Abejuela (MA), ARIN's Associate General Counsel, and confirmed that it had been made clear to all involved during the WS2 discussions that the RIRs were accountable to their respective communities and didn't consider themselves bound by any recommendations put forth by WS2. PW wondered whether it was necessary to publish an NRO EC statement in response to JV and FA's document for clarity.

JC didn't think a statement was necessary at this stage. He noted that JV and FA have reviewed the conclusions of the WS2 report and are documenting the potential impact of these. He suggested that the ASO AC also report to the NRO EC any proposed changes to the ASO noted in the WS2 report.

JC added that as most suggested changes in the WS2 report would likely impact the ASO AC's workload, it is appropriate for it provide an initial report. It needs to be clear to the ASO AC that it is not going to change its procedures or the ASO's relationship with ICANN based on any recommendations in the WS2 report. While it is fine for the ASO AC to review and document any recommended changes to the ASO, such recommended changes to the ASO's interaction with an external party, such as ICANN, need to ultimately come to the NRO EC for consideration. Any changes to the ASO's work streams are decisions the NRO EC needs to make.

PW noted that the report from the ASO AC was expected soon and suggested the NRO EC waited on this.

JC asked whom the intended audience of the report was and if the NRO EC should inform the ASO AC Chair that it is expecting to review it. He noted concern that the ASO AC had initiated this report of its own accord: changing any aspect of the ASO's relationship with ICANN is an NRO EC matter.

GV confirmed that the report was initiated by the ASO AC and is being produced for the ASO AC.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180424-9: PW and GV to contact the ASO AC Chair regarding its report on the WS2 report recommendations: the NRO EC welcomes its report and would also like to see an overview of any proposed changes to the ASO.

OPEN

20180316-2: GV to publish the updated ASO Procedures for Empowered Community Powers on the ASO and the NRO websites.	CLOSED
20180316-3: PW to advise ICANN of the change in the designated representative to the empowered community (to NRO Secretary).	CLOSED
20180316-4: GV update the forwarding of the secretary@nro.net role account from AB's personal email address to ceo@afrinic.net .	CLOSED
20180316-5: All to review the ITHI document for discussion during the next NRO EC Meeting.	OPEN
20180316-6: PW inform the RSCG that the NRO EC is considering the ITHI document and that it should continue coordination with the ECG.	CLOSED
20180316-7: PW to contact ECG to discuss working with ICANN to help identify holders of IP addresses that are defined as problematic for the KSK Rollover.	CLOSED
20180316-8: PW to ask CCG to keep in contact with the ECG regarding the KSK for any necessary communications support.	CLOSED
20180316-9: PW to contact Kim Davies, IANA/PTI, regarding reverting to the former level of granularity that was in the AS registry prior to its last change and to check when this will be implemented.	CLOSED
20180316-10: GV to follow up with CFOs regarding the expense report to get approval from all five RIRs.	CLOSED
20180316-11: ALL to review and subsequently approve the NRO expense report and the 2017 NRO expense distribution formula.	OPEN
20180316-12: AP to respond to MAG Chair's email regarding request for funding and to advise her that the NRO will contribute 75,000 to UN-DESA and 50,000 to the IGF-SA in 2018 which will cover this request. AP noted he would complete this action item ASAP.	OPEN
20180316-13: AP to inform IGF Secretariat that before the NRO Secretariat will make its contribution for 2018 (see action 20180316-12), it would like to see a report on how the NRO contributions from 2016 and 2017 were spent.	CLOSED
20180316-14: JC to draft a note to the ECG correcting the ECG's statement that the ARIN Board forbids any development on RPKI, adding that the NRO EC believes that work on RPKI Validation Reconsidered should continue. The NRO EC would like to see more information on this matter, including links to relevant IETF documents.	CLOSED
20180316-15: PW to inform the RSCG that the NRO EC has approved a \$5,000 spend for design work on the NRO Stats slide-deck.	CLOSED
20180316-16: PW to ask the CCG to report on the success of the IGF booth in 2017 and to make recommendations about the future presence of the NRO at the IGF events.	CLOSED
20180316-17: PW to request clarification from the CCG regarding the budget requests for NRO and ASO website revamp projects in the current version of the CCG Workplan (currently they are unclear).	OPEN

20180316-18: PW to note concerns about some of the technical community appointments to the IGF MAG on the next Internet Collaboration call. PW noted that he had attended the call but forgot to raise this item and would do so during the next call.	OPEN
20180316-19: PW to discuss the idea of an 'emergency back-end registry' with the ECG and ask it to provide an overview of what should be included as critical registry services in case an RIR is no longer able to function due to natural disaster, political crisis etc.	CLOSED
20180316-20: GV to add ARIN staff member Richard Jimmerson to NRO EC mailing list.	CLOSED
20180316-21: PW to send a note to Adiel Akplogan thanking him for ensuring the ASO's slot on the ICANN Meeting agenda: the ASO may not use the slot each time but would like it to be included in the schedule at future meetings.	
20180316-22: JC to add the Joint Response to the ASO Review to the wiki and annotate with current statuses and pending actions. Reopened 20180424.	OPEN

12. Next Meetings

- a) Teleconference Tuesday 15 May (during RIPE 76)
- b) Teleconference Tuesday 19 June (week before ICANN 62)
- c) Teleconference Tuesday 17 July
- d) F2f Meeting Friday 19 & Saturday 20 October 2018 Amsterdam (Right before ICANN 63)

There were no comments or concerns regarding the upcoming meeting schedule.

13. AOB

PW noted that the ECG has proposed a method for collecting feedback about RDAP from the RIR communities: it would like to use a Git Repository and suggested using Bitbucket. He asked the NRO EC to note if it had any concerns about this approach.

NEW ACTION ITEM 20180424-10: PW to share details of ECG's request to use Bitbucket to gather RIR feedback on RDAP.

14. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 13:44 (UTC).