19th CRISP Team teleconference held on Thursday, May 7th 2015 (13:00 UTC)

**CRISP members present:**

**AFRINIC**

Mwendwa Kivuva, MK

Janvier Ngnoulayea, JN

**APNIC**

Izumi Okutani, IO

Craig Ng, CN

**ARIN**

Michael Abejuala, MA

**LACNIC**

Andres Piazza, AP

**RIPE NCC**

Andrei Robachevsky

GV - NRO Executive Secretary

LP - Scribe

----

1. Agenda Review

2. Action Items

a. Minutes from the last call

b. Fix regular Meeting Schedule

c. Arrange a call with CWG-Stewardship Chairs

d. Follow up from the GAO Interview

3. Confirm the current status

a. Preparing implementation by RIRs

b. Feedback from Global list

c. Feedback RIR regional lists + Preparation for RIPE70

d. Feedback from other communities

4. Reconfirm the role of the CRISP Team in community consultation on implementation

5. Update on the meeting with CWG-Stewardship Chairs

a. Key points relevant to the numbers

b. Next steps

6. Next Meeting

7. AOB

----

Meeting began at 13.04 UTC

**1. Agenda Review**

No items were added

**2. Action Items**

**a. Minutes from the last call**

Draft note were already sent to the list. Feedback was received, they are ready to publish

**b. Fix regular Meeting Schedule**

The tentative schedule has already been posted on the CRISP webpage (2nd Thursday and 4th Wednesday of each month, 13.00 UTC), each to be confirmed at the end of the previous meeting).

Action: Secretariat to help communicate this schedule to the IANA XFER list.

**c. Arrange a call with CWG-Stewardship Chairs**

Done. NN has forwarded the notes on that meeting to the list. Will discuss again later under agenda item 5.

**d. Follow up from the GAO Interview**

An update was given in the last meeting with the CRISP team, but a written response to GAO has yet to be submitted. NN and IO have already started drafting a response, but it needs some polishing. Also, NN has forwarded to the CRISP team two additional questions they have received. GAO is expecting the response in mid-may, roughly by the end of next week or beginning of the other.

Next steps: Once NN and IO work on the draft responses to the additional questions, CRISP will provide feedback. IO and NN will continue to welcome feedback from the CRISP team members, including those who were not at the call, until UTC 13:00 Mon 11th May.

**3. Confirm the current status**

**a. Preparing implementation by RIRs**

AP provided an update regarding the review committee (RC).

IO said they’d requested the NRO EC to make a statement acknowledging the CRISP team proposal and that they’re willing to work on an implementation consistent with the proposal. It is very important that the NRO states this for the other communities, not only the numbers. Also, the NRO EC should publish a rough timeline so that we can know what to expect.

Next steps: IO will draft something and share it to the mailing list to see if we will send a request to the EC asking whether they can make a statement on what to expect regarding the RC.

**b. Feedback from Global list**

They’re basically related to the SLA text and also some interaction on the jurisdiction.

**c. Feedback RIR regional lists + Preparation for RIPE70 + LACNIC 23**

AR provided an update about RIPE 70 Amsterdam: On Tuesday there will be a panel on the IANA transition –where we stand and an update on the CRISP process and the numbers proposal. We plan to present the SLA prepared by the legal staff, this we hope will stimulate discussions.

AP gave an update on LACNIC 23: In two weeks, in Lima, there will be 2 plenary sessions on Tuesday: 1) an informative session on the outcome of CRISP and next steps; 2) Community discussion on the next steps (people from the ICG in our region, local community leaders and other members of RIRs, perhaps some others from ICANN). Before the two panels, there will be a session on IG.

**d. Feedback from other communities**

**WSIS:** There will be a panel on the WSIS forum for discussing the IANA stewardship transition, organized by the CWG. They would like to invite people from the three operational communities to share their progress. Will anybody from the CRISP team be at the WSIS forum?

Next steps: NN will wait until Monday. If nobody replies, she’ll say nobody from CRISP team is attending WSIS, then maybe somebody from RIR staff can present on the numbers proposal.

**Panel with the ICANN Board:** Three major points were shared by IO:

1) Making sure that transparency is essential in the process,

2) Making sure that implementation is consistent with the proposal,

3) Better communication between the operational communities

IO spoke about the possibility of changing the IANA operator. The board said it’s important to have the change of IANA operator as a fallback plan. We’re not restricting this to a case where the operator is failing. Ensure that in case we change the IANA operator in the future, we’d do so in a way that doesn’t destabilize the IANA functions in terms of numbers.

**4. Reconfirm the role of the CRISP Team in community consultation on implementation**

This agenda item was discussed at length.

Conclusions (as summarized by IO):

\* The CRISP Team will provide input on the SLA, whether it is consistent with the principles provided in the numbers proposal, consolidated by the CRISP Team.

\* On how we handle feedback posted on the [IANAXER@nro.net](mailto:IANAXER@nro.net) list, there was no agreement for the CRISP Team to summarize individual comments or make observations on the comments posted by the community. It was however suggested the CRISP Team coordinates input from the community and provide feedback to the RIRs on any changes needed for the SLA text.

\* Izumi to suggest a call with NRO EC and the CRISP Team next week about their expectation on the CRISP Team's role, so that we all share the same understanding.

\* Once it is agreed, this should be communicated to the community on the [IANAXFER@nro.net](mailto:IANAXFER@nro.net) list, so that how their input is handled is clear to the community.

**5. Update on the meeting with CWG-Stewardship Chairs**

**a. Key points relevant to the numbers**

- The names proposal is suggesting setting up three new bodies related to the IANA stewardship transition. One is the PTI (Post-Transition IANA), an entity separate from ICANN. Another is the CSC (Customer Standing Committee), the third is a review team to review the feedback from the CSC as well as other aspects of the IANA functions.

- The PTI will include all IANA functions.

- We’ve confirmed that this PTI scheme will still allow us to have a separate SLA with the IANA function operator.

- We’ll still be able to conduct our own review with the review committee in the CRISP proposing. It’s up to us whether we want to join the review committee.

- The composition and purpose of the PTI board is not yet clear.

Whether or not having the numbers community should participate on the PTI board was discussed at length, as the names chairs had asked IO and NN specifically to provide feedback on this issue.

Deadline for public comments: May 20th.

NN and IO will have another meeting with the names chairs on May 13th.

**b. Next steps**

MS and JS volunteered to work on an analysis / comparison of the two proposals. They’re doing a write-up which they will share shortly, probably before the meeting with the names chairs (13 May).

**6. Next Meeting**

The next meeting was confirmed for 27th May.

The invitation will be sent out to the IANA XFER mailing list.

**7. AOB**

Meeting ended at 14.25 UTC