

17<sup>th</sup> CRISP Team teleconference held on Wednesday, April 8<sup>th</sup> 2015 (13:00 UTC)

**CRISP members present:**

**AFRINIC**

Alan P. Barrett, AB  
Mwendwa Kivuwa, MK

**APNIC**

Izumi Okutani, IO  
Craig Ng, CN

**ARIN**

Michael Abejuala, MA  
John Sweeting, JS  
Bill Woodcock, BW

**LACNIC**

Andres Piazza, AP

**RIPE NCC**

Nurani Nimpuno, NN  
Paul Rendek, PR  
Andrei Robachevsky, AR

----

1. Agenda Review
2. Election of the Vice-Chair  
(To be chaired by non-voting member)
3. Actions Review
  - a. Notes from the last meeting
  - b. FAQ, CRISP Slides
  - c. Message to ICG about CWG-Stewardship
  - d. Share future steps with the community
  - e. Confirm with NRO about RIR's official response  
(on proposal, future steps)
4. Update
  - a. ICG
  - b. RIR communities (including ARIN35)
  - c. CWG-Stewardship (Names) Co-Chairs, IETF
  - d. GAO

5. Confirm Status & Next Steps
  - a. SLA, Review Committee
  - b. Community engagement, engagement with RIRs
  - c. IPR
6. Next Meeting
7. AOB

----

### **1. Agenda Review**

No items were added to the agenda.

### **2. Election of the Vice-Chair**

The election is due to resignation of the Vice Chair, Alan Barrett from the CRISP Team, due to his appointment to the CEO of AFRINIC.

To be chaired by MA as non-voting member.

MA mentioned that NN had been nominated as vice-chair. No other nominations were put forward.

All voting CRISP members present in the call voted yes for NN. NN abstained

NN was elected to the role of vice-chair of the CRISP team.

### **3. Actions Review**

#### **a. Notes from the last meeting**

DONE

#### **b. FAQ, CRISP Slides**

GV: After two rounds of input in the CRISP list, documents are ready for publication after last feedback from AB.

IO: thanks GV and NRO staff.

#### **c. Message to ICG about CWG-Stewardship**

Item for AB. AB is not on the call yet.

#### **d. Share future steps with the community (preparing for implementation)**

IO: if no update is made at Wednesday COB, a report about the future steps will go on the IANA list before ARIN35 meeting.

**e. Confirm with NRO about RIR's official response** (on proposal, future steps)

GV: The action is still open. Will update on the mailing list.

#### **4. Update**

##### **a. ICG**

##### **b. RIR communities (including ARIN35)**

BW: Nothing very specific to report for the ARIN region. One thing that's been on our mind is the issue of transparency.

JS: Nothing's been posted to the ARIN list. ARIN 35 starts Monday and we have a 1 hour CRISP panel scheduled for Monday. Plans are to use the slides posted by GV.

IO: My understanding is that in the RIR meetings after the APNIC meeting we'd be sharing status, including status of the SLA.

PR: how to deal with the community on the SLA.

IO: Question: Do we share the progress on the implementation as well?

MA: We'll give a status on the implementation, we'll update as much as we can update

IO: Any other notable update from the other RIRs?

PR: any indication when the first draft of the SLA will be ready.

(agenda Item 5.a): SLA, Review Committee

CN: within the next few weeks, a document that will fully and faithfully reflect the CRISP proposal principles will be ready to be submitted to the RIR heads.

NN: It would be useful if we could agree on a timeline we can announce to our communities.

CN: CRISP team shouldn't be setting this timeline.

AR: Important to explain the role/scope of CRISP team in the drafting of the SLA

BW we do represent the voice of the community in oversight of the rest of the process.

PR: Agree with BW.

CN: SLA is a fundamental document. We're drafting it carefully and faithfully to the CRISP principles. There are no backdoor discussions taking place. RIRs will not make any changes without consultation with the CRISP team.

MA (chat): if any changes are to be considered, will be put forth for consideration by the community.

IO: My view on how to move forward: We'll wait to see what will be posted on the NRO website and once this is out, each CRISP member will share this info that will be released by the NRO to their respective regions and NN or I could release it to the global list and also release what is agreed in each RIR meeting, we will share the current status on preparation for the SLA and the review committee.

IO: Regarding the role of CRISP team in the current phase, my understanding is that when there are consultations at any RIR meeting related to the SLA or the review committee, there was a request from the NRO EC that we report to the NRO EC what was discussed and whether this was in line with the CRISP proposal. That's one role the team could take. Second role: confirm with the RIRs the latest status of implementation and make sure that this is being shared at each RIR meeting and other points in time that might be useful. If people agree, it would be useful to see who would be the POC for each RIR.

AR there is a lot of new answers and it would be useful to document this role.

IO documents the CRISP Team roles and shares it with the rest CRISP team.

Going back to (3e): Confirm with NRO about RIR's official response (on proposal, future steps)

IO: RIRs should be taking the lead. To check with the NRO to share a statement from the NRO to the community.

NN: Quick comment (3e and 6a): It is important to be very clear when we communicate with the community about what the next steps are, what actions are expected from the community and what the roles of CRISP team and the community are.

IO: incorporate in the statement that we release on what we expect from the community.

AP: From the LACNIC side, we'll have a panel in Lima next month regarding the IANA stewardship transition.

IO: It might be useful to share the future RIR meetings that will discuss the IANA transition topic. Maybe people from each of the RIR region can share their calendars.

### **c. CWG-Stewardship (Names) Co-Chairs, IETF**

BW: The names are the ones behind schedule and they have to resolve the issue of ICANN transparency and accountability before they move forward.

AB: It's a complicated issue. we can deal with ICANN as a black box – names can't do that, they're part of ICANN.

BW: One possibility would be to make a public statement to the effect that the accountability issue is either addressed, or being addressed, and that we feel that there's no justifiable blockage to the names process.

IO: Perhaps NN and I can bring this up when we discuss this with the names co-chairs. Confirm whether that the accountability factor is what's been blocking the process and share our observation that the two issues can be discussed in parallel.

NN: Accountability is different for the names and the numbers and even for the protocol parameters. We need to be clear about the boundaries of each community. It's a bit premature to come up with such a statement.

IO: Agree.

IO: Topics for discussion with the names co-chairs: confirm considerations they are making that affect the numbers community.

Next step: NN and IO will work on the agenda for the meeting with the names co-chairs and send it to the CRISP mailing list. Then share the agenda with the co-chairs with possible dates for the meeting with them.

Update from the IETF:

AB: There was one message in the sense that it was good for the chairs of the two groups to be talking.

### **d. GAO**

IO: a request was made to from US Government Accountability Office (GAO) to various leaders involved in the IANA Stewardship transition. to gather information to prepare the report to the commission. Similar requests have been made to the Chairs of CWG-Stewardship and ICANN Accountability CCWG.

IO and NN Draft the answers and send them to the CRISP list before the interview and then share with the list how the meeting went.

## **5. Confirm Status & Next Steps**

### **a. SLA, Review Committee**

Discussed earlier

### **b. Community engagement, engagement with RIRs**

Discussed earlier

### **c. IPR**

IO: It's been brought up whether the IETF Trust is willing to take the responsibilities that come with the IPR. It might be good to confirm whether the IETF Trust is willing to do so.

AR (chat): "In accordance with Article 5.2 of the Trust Agreement the IETF Trust would be willing to hold intellectual property rights relating to the IANA function, including the IANA trademark and the IANA.ORG domain name."

AR: The IETF voted on this statement.

IO: As there have been some observations raised in the sense that holding the IPR also involves responsibilities, I think it's worth sharing with the IETF Trust that this has been raised and confirm that they'd still be willing to hold the rights, even with those obligations.

All agreed.

## **6. Next Meeting**

IO: meet twice a month. we can decide this online.

Action item: GV to coordinate a doodle poll to decide the best times/dates for two monthly CRISP meetings (the next CRISP meeting should not be too long after the ARIN meeting).

## **7. AOB**

IO thanked AB for the time and effort given to the CRISP team and congratulated him in his new role as AFRINIC CEO.

AB said he'd missed the opportunity to resign as vice-chair during the last call, and resigned with effect immediately. The meeting was closed at 21.25 UTC